

FLORIDA PAROLE COMMISSION

TENA M. PATE, Chair
BERNARD R. COHEN, SR., Vice-Chair
MELINDA N. COONROD, Secretary

RICK SCOTT, Governor
PAM BONDI, Attorney General
JEFF ATWATER, Chief Financial Officer
ADAM PUTNAM, Commissioner of Agriculture

LEGISLATIVE BUDGET REQUEST

Florida Parole Commission
Tallahassee, FL 32399-2450

October 15, 2013

Mr. Jerry McDaniel, Director
Office of Policy and Budget
Executive Office of the Governor
1701 Capitol
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0001

JoAnne Leznoff, Staff Director
House Appropriations Committee
221 Capitol
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1300

Mike Hansen, Staff Director
Senate Appropriations Committee
201 Capitol
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1300

Dear Directors:

Pursuant to Chapter 216, Florida Statutes, our Legislative Budget Request for the Florida Parole Commission is submitted in the format prescribed in the budget instructions. The information provided electronically and contained herein is a true and accurate presentation of our proposed needs for the 2014-15 Fiscal Year. This submission has been approved by me, Tena M. Pate, Chair of the Commission.

Should you have any questions, please direct them to Gina Giacomo at 488-3415 or Karen Huff at 921-2815. Your thoughtful consideration of the Commission's budget needs is very much appreciated.

Sincerely,

Tena M. Pate
Chair

COMMITTED TO PROTECTING THE PUBLIC

OFFICE OF THE CHAIR
4070 ESPLANADE WAY, TALLAHASSEE, FL 32399-2450 • (850) 487-1980

<https://fpc.state.fl.us/>
Page 1 of 58

FLORIDA PAROLE COMMISSION
PAY ADDITIVES REQUEST
FOR FY 2014-2015

Section 110.2035(7)(b), Florida Statutes, requires each state agency to include in its annual legislative budget request a proposed written plan for implementing temporary special duties – general pay additives for the next fiscal year. The agency is not requesting any additional rate or appropriations for these additives.

In accordance with previous rule authority in 60L-32.0012, Florida Administrative Code, the Commission has used existing rate and salary appropriations to grant pay additives when warranted based on the duties and responsibilities of the position. The requested additives are justified for reasons such as additional assigned duties and responsibilities not customarily assigned to the position when another position become vacant.

Pay additives are a valuable management tool which allows agencies to recognize and compensate employees for identified duties without providing a permanent pay increase.

Temporary Special Duty

The agency requests approval to continue to grant a 10% pay additive to Parole Examiners or Parole Examiner Supervisors who perform additional duties as a Regional Administrator.

1. Justification:

The Division of Operations has 41 Parole Examiner positions, 4 Parole Examiner Supervisor positions and 5 Regional Administrator positions located in the field. A Regional Administrator vacancy creates a region without supervision. A Parole Examiner or Parole Examiner Supervisor will be given additional duties of regional supervision until the position has been filled.

2. Length of time additive will be used:

The additive will not be used more than 90 days at any given occurrence.

3. Classes and number of positions affected:

<u>Class Code</u>	<u>Class Title</u>	<u># of FTE</u>
8127	Parole Examiner	1
8133	Parole Examiner Supervisor	1

4. Area impacted:

This additive will impact employees statewide.

5. Historical data:

This pay additive was last used in July 2012.

6. Estimated cost of this additive:

Based on a base salary for a Parole Examiner, the calculation is as follows: $\$35,113.80 \times 10\% = \$3,511.38 \times 1 \text{ position} = \$3,511.38 \times .25 \text{ year (90 days)} = \877.85 . Based on a base salary for a Parole Examiner Supervisor, the calculation is as follows: $\$38,885.64 \times 10\% = \$3,888.56 \times 1 \text{ position} = \$3,888.56 \times .25 \text{ year (90 days)} = \972.14 . The Commission is not requesting any additional rate or appropriations for this additive.

Florida Parole Commission

Department Level Exhibits or Schedules

Schedule VII: Agency Litigation Inventory

For directions on completing this schedule, please see the “Legislative Budget Request (LBR) Instructions” located on the Governor’s website.

Agency:	Florida Parole Commission		
Contact Person:	Sarah Rumph	Phone Number:	(850) 488-4460
Names of the Case: (If no case name, list the names of the plaintiff and defendant.)	There are no significant litigation cases pending, as defined by § 216.023(5), F.S.		
Court with Jurisdiction:	N/A		
Case Number:	N/A		
Summary of the Complaint:	N/A		
Amount of the Claim:	N/A		
Specific Statutes or Laws (including GAA) Challenged:	N/A		
Status of the Case:	N/A		
Who is representing (of record) the state in this lawsuit? Check all that apply.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Agency Counsel	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Office of the Attorney General or Division of Risk Management	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Outside Contract Counsel	
If the lawsuit is a class action (whether the class is certified or not), provide the name of the firm or firms representing the plaintiff(s).	N/A		

**FLORIDA PAROLE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF COMMISSIONER CHAIR**


EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2013

APPROVED BY: _____

ORGANIZATIONAL CODE: 78 01 00 00 000

Authorized F.T.E.: 3

Total Authorized F.T.E: 122



**FLORIDA PAROLE COMMISSION
LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS**

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2013

APPROVED BY: _____

ORGANIZATIONAL CODE: 78 01 00 00 00

Authorized F.T.E.: 2



**FLORIDA PAROLE COMMISSION
COMMUNICATIONS**


EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2013

APPROVED BY: _____

ORGANIZATIONAL CODE: 78 01 00 00 00

Authorized F.T.E.: 1

Commissioner Chair




**FLORIDA PAROLE COMMISSION
GENERAL COUNSEL**

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2013

APPROVED BY: _____

ORGANIZATIONAL CODE: 78 01 01 00 000

Authorized F.T.E.: 5




**FLORIDA PAROLE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONERS**

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2013

APPROVED BY: _____

ORGANIZATIONAL CODE: 78 02 01 00 000

Authorized F.T.E.: 3




**FLORIDA PAROLE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONERS**

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2013

APPROVED BY: _____

ORGANIZATIONAL CODE: 78 02 02 00 000

Authorized F.T.E.: 3




**FLORIDA PAROLE COMMISSION
CLEMENCY INVESTIGATIONS**

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2013

APPROVED BY: _____

ORGANIZATIONAL CODE: 78 03 00 00 000

Authorized F.T.E.: 12




**FLORIDA PAROLE COMMISSION
EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY**

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2013

APPROVED BY: _____

ORGANIZATIONAL CODE: 78 03 01 00 000

Authorized F.T.E.: 6




**FLORIDA PAROLE COMMISSION
DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATION
DIRECTOR'S OFFICE**

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2013

APPROVED BY: _____

ORGANIZATIONAL CODE: 78 04 00 00 000

Authorized F.T.E.: 3



**FLORIDA PAROLE COMMISSION
DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATION
HUMAN RESOURCES**

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2013

APPROVED BY: _____

ORGANIZATIONAL CODE: 78 04 01 00 000

Authorized F.T.E.: 1

Director of
Administration

Human Resources Administrator/Human Resources Managers – Level 3
00019

**FLORIDA PAROLE COMMISSION
DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATION
ACCOUNTING AND BUDGETING**

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2013

APPROVED BY: _____

ORGANIZATIONAL CODE: 78 04 02 00 00

Authorized F.T.E.: 1

Director of
Administration



Accounting & Budgeting Administrator/Financial Managers – Level 3

00598


**FLORIDA PAROLE COMMISSION
DIVISION OF OPERATIONS
DIRECTOR'S OFFICE**

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2013

APPROVED BY: _____

ORGANIZATIONAL CODE: 78 06 00 00 000

Authorized F.T.E.: 2




**FLORIDA PAROLE COMMISSION
DIVISION OF OPERATIONS
REVOCATIONS**

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2013

APPROVED BY: _____

ORGANIZATIONAL CODE: 78 06 01 00 000

Authorized F.T.E.: 11




**FLORIDA PAROLE COMMISSION
DIVISION OF OPERATIONS
VICTIMS' SERVICES**

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2013

APPROVED BY: _____

ORGANIZATIONAL CODE: 78 06 02 01 000

Authorized F.T.E.: 3



**FLORIDA PAROLE COMMISSION
DIVISION OF OPERATIONS
IMAGING**


EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2013

APPROVED BY: _____

ORGANIZATIONAL CODE: 78 06 03 00 000

Authorized F.T.E.: 1

Operations & Management Consultant II




**FLORIDA PAROLE COMMISSION
DIVISION OF OPERATIONS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSION CLERK**

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2013

APPROVED BY: _____

ORGANIZATIONAL CODE: 78 06 04 00 000

Authorized F.T.E.: 10




**FLORIDA PAROLE COMMISSION
DIVISION OF OPERATIONS
REGION I – QUINCY**

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2013

APPROVED BY: _____

ORGANIZATIONAL CODE: 78 06 05 01 000

Authorized F.T.E.: 5



**FLORIDA PAROLE COMMISSION
DIVISION OF OPERATIONS
REGION I – MILTON**


EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2013

APPROVED BY: _____

ORGANIZATIONAL CODE: 78 06 05 01 010

Authorized F.T.E.: 2

Regional Administrator




**FLORIDA PAROLE COMMISSION
DIVISION OF OPERATIONS
REGION II – JACKSONVILLE**

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2013

APPROVED BY: _____

ORGANIZATIONAL CODE: 78 06 05 02 010

Authorized F.T.E.: 7



**FLORIDA PAROLE COMMISSION
DIVISION OF OPERATIONS
REGION II – LAWTEY**

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2013

APPROVED BY: _____

ORGANIZATIONAL CODE: 78 06 05 02 000

Authorized F.T.E.: 3

Regional Administrator



Parole Examiner/Probation Officers &
Correctional Treatment Specialist - Level 3

01808, 02025, 02007


**FLORIDA PAROLE COMMISSION
DIVISION OF OPERATIONS
REGION III – COCOA**

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2013

APPROVED BY: _____

ORGANIZATIONAL CODE: 78 06 05 03 000

Authorized F.T.E.: 5



**FLORIDA PAROLE COMMISSION
DIVISION OF OPERATIONS
REGION III – OCALA**

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2013

APPROVED BY: _____

ORGANIZATIONAL CODE: 78 06 05 03 010

Authorized F.T.E.: 3

Regional Administrator

Parole Examiner/Probation Officers &
Correctional Treatment Specialist - Level 3

01783, 02012, 03012


**FLORIDA PAROLE COMMISSION
DIVISION OF OPERATIONS
REGION IV – MIAMI/DADE**

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2013

APPROVED BY: _____

ORGANIZATIONAL CODE: 78 06 05 04 000

Authorized F.T.E.: 7



**FLORIDA PAROLE COMMISSION
DIVISION OF OPERATIONS
REGION IV – STUART**

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2013

APPROVED BY: _____

ORGANIZATIONAL CODE: 78 06 05 04 020

Authorized F.T.E.: 3

Regional Administrator

Parole Examiner/Probation Officers & Correctional
Treatment Specialist - Level 3

01052, 02015, 02023

**FLORIDA PAROLE COMMISSION
DIVISION OF OPERATIONS
REGION IV – BROWARD**


EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2013

APPROVED BY: _____

ORGANIZATIONAL CODE: 78 06 05 04 030

Authorized F.T.E.: 5

Regional Administrator




**FLORIDA PAROLE COMMISSION
DIVISION OF OPERATIONS
REGION V – TAMPA**

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2013

APPROVED BY: _____

ORGANIZATIONAL CODE: 78 06 05 05 000

Authorized F.T.E.: 14



**FLORIDA PAROLE COMMISSION
DIVISION OF OPERATIONS
REGION V – ARCADIA**


EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2013

APPROVED BY: _____

ORGANIZATIONAL CODE: 78 06 05 05 010

Authorized F.T.E.: 1

Parole Examiner Supervisor



Schedule XIV
Variance from Long Range Financial Outlook

Agency: Florida Parole Commission

Contact: Karen Huff

Article III, Section 19(a)3, Florida Constitution, requires each agency Legislative Budget Request to be based upon and reflect the long range financial outlook adopted by the Joint Legislative Budget Commission or to explain any variance from the outlook.

- 1) Does the long range financial outlook adopted by the Joint Legislative Budget Commission in September 2013 contain revenue or expenditure estimates related to your agency?

Yes No

- 2) If yes, please list the estimates for revenues and budget drivers that reflect an estimate for your agency for Fiscal Year 2013-2014 and list the amount projected in the long range financial outlook and the amounts projected in your Schedule I or budget request.

	Issue (Revenue or Budget Driver)	R/B*	FY 2014-2015 Estimate/Request Amount	
			Long Range Financial Outlook	Legislative Budget Request
a				
b				
c				
d				
e				
f				

- 3) If your agency's Legislative Budget Request does not conform to the long range financial outlook with respect to the revenue estimates (from your Schedule I) or budget drivers, please explain the variance(s) below.

* R/B = Revenue or Budget Driver

Florida Parole Commission

Post-Incarceration Enforcement and Victims' Rights Program Exhibits or Schedules

Florida Parole Commission

Schedule I Series

SCHEDULE IC: RECONCILIATION OF UNRESERVED FUND BALANCE

Department Title:	Budget Period: 2014 - 2015
Trust Fund Title:	Florida Parole Commiss
Budget Entity:	Federal Grants Trust Fund
LAS/PBS Fund Number:	78010000 - Post-Incarceration Enforcement and Victims' Rights
	2261

	Balance as of 6/30/2013		SWFS* Adjustments	Adjusted Balance
Chief Financial Officer's (CFO) Cash Balance	25324	(A)		25324
ADD: Other Cash (See Instructions)		(B)		0
ADD: Investments		(C)		0
ADD: Outstanding Accounts Receivable	4192	(D)		4192
ADD: _____		(E)		0
Total Cash plus Accounts Receivable	29516	(F)	0	29516
LESS Allowances for Uncollectibles		(G)		0
LESS Approved "A" Certified Forwards		(H)		0
Approved "B" Certified Forwards		(H)		0
Approved "FCO" Certified Forwards		(H)		0
LESS: Other Accounts Payable (Nonoperating)		(I)		0
LESS: _____		(J)		0
Unreserved Fund Balance, 07/01/13	29516	(K)	0	29516 **

Notes:

*SWFS = Statewide Financial Statement

** This amount should agree with Line I, Section IV of the Schedule I for the most recent completed fiscal year and Line A for the following year.

RECONCILIATION: BEGINNING TRIAL BALANCE TO SCHEDULE I and IC

Budget Period: 2014 - 2015

Department Title: Florida Parole Commission
Trust Fund Title: Federal Grants Trust Fund
LAS/PBS Fund Number: 2261

BEGINNING TRIAL BALANCE:

Total Fund Balance Per FLAIR Trial Balance, 07/01/13

Total all GLC's 5XXXX for governmental funds; 29,516.00 (A)
GLC 539XX for proprietary and fiduciary funds

Subtract Nonspendable Fund Balance (GLC 56XXX) (B)

Add/Subtract Statewide Financial Statement (SWFS) Adjustments :

SWFS Adjustment # and Description (C)

SWFS Adjustment # and Description (C)

Add/Subtract Other Adjustment(s):

Approved "B" Carry Forward (Encumbrances) per LAS/PBS (D)

Approved "C" Carry Forward Total (FCO) per LAS/PBS (D)

A/P not C/F-Operating Categories (D)

(D)

(D)

(D)

ADJUSTED BEGINNING TRIAL BALANCE: 29,516.00 (E)

UNRESERVED FUND BALANCE, SCHEDULE IC (Line I) 29,516.00 (F)

DIFFERENCE: 0.00 (G)*

***SHOULD EQUAL ZERO.**

COL A03		COL A04		COL A05		
AGY REQUEST		AGY REQ N/R		AG REQ ANZ		
FY 2014-15		FY 2014-15		FY 2014-15		
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	CODES

NO RECORDS SELECTED FOR REPORTING

SCHEDULE VI: DETAIL OF DEBT SERVICE

Department: Florida Parole Commission
Budget Entity: 78010000

Budget Period 2014-15

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
SECTION I	ACTUAL FY 2012-13	ESTIMATED FY 2013-14	REQUEST FY 2014-15
Interest on Debt	(A) N/A	N/A	N/A
Principal	(B) N/A	N/A	N/A
Repayment of Loans	(C) N/A	N/A	N/A
Fiscal Agent or Other Fees	(D) N/A	N/A	N/A
Other Debt Service	(E) N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Debt Service	(F) N/A	N/A	N/A

Explanation: _____

SECTION II

ISSUE: _____

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
INTEREST RATE	MATURITY DATE	ISSUE AMOUNT	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2015
(6)		(7)	(8)	(9)
		ACTUAL FY 2012-13	ESTIMATED FY 2013-14	REQUEST FY 2014-15
Interest on Debt	(G)			
Principal	(H)			
Fiscal Agent or Other Fees	(I)			
Other	(J)			
Total Debt Service	(K)			

ISSUE: _____

INTEREST RATE	MATURITY DATE	ISSUE AMOUNT	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2015
		ACTUAL FY 2012-13	ESTIMATED FY 2013-14	REQUEST FY 2014-15
Interest on Debt	(G)			
Principal	(H)			
Fiscal Agent or Other Fees	(I)			
Other	(J)			
Total Debt Service	(K)			

SCHEDULE IX: MAJOR AUDIT FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Budget Period: 2014-15

Department: Florida Parole Commission

Chief Internal Auditor: (None) Gina Gicacomo

Budget Entity: 78010000

Phone Number: (850) 488-3415

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
REPORT NUMBER	PERIOD ENDING	UNIT/AREA	SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	SUMMARY OF CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN	ISSUE CODE
2013-033 Restoration of Civil Rights' Clemency Process	February 2012	Clemency	<p>Finding 1: Although we noted no errors in RCR eligibility determinations for the RCR cases tested, central office reviews of the determinations were not always properly documented.</p> <p>Recommendation: We recommend that the Commission monitor compliance with established procedures to ensure that the central office review process is properly administered and documented.</p>	<p>Recommendation No. 1 Corrective Actions: The Commission has taken the following corrective measures as recommended. The Commission's March 2010 RCR Eligibility Investigations' Training Manual was revised on February 9, 2012 to include an update to the RCR Eligibility Review Form to be used by Central Office examiners when documenting their quality assurance eligibility reviews. The form is used both when revisions or additional information are needed and when the investigation is complete and accurate. The Director of the Office of Clemency Investigations or the designee ensures that the Quality Assurance Review form has been completed and is a part of all clemency case files.</p>	

SCHEDULE IX: MAJOR AUDIT FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Budget Period: 2014-15

Department: Florida Parole Commission

Chief Internal Auditor: (None) Gina Gicacomo

Budget Entity: 78010000

Phone Number: (850) 488-3415

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
REPORT NUMBER	PERIOD ENDING	UNIT/AREA	SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	SUMMARY OF CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN	ISSUE CODE
2013-033 Restoration of Civil Rights' Clemency Process	February 2012	Clemency	<p>Finding 2: The Commission had not established adequate policies and procedures defining the roles, responsibilities, and authority regarding the Management of Application for Clemency (MAC) computer application.</p> <p>Recommendation: We recommend that the Commission, in conjunction with the Office of the Executive Clemency and the Department, establish adequate policies and procedures defining the roles, responsibilities, and authority with regard to MAC. Additionally, we recommend that the Agreement be amended to include the Office of Executive Clemency as it is the official custodian of clemency records.</p>	<p>Recommendation No. 2 Corrective Actions: The Commission has taken the following measures as recommended. The Service Level Agreement (SLA) established the IT service terms between the Department and the Commission including the scope and definition of technical services, roles and responsibilities for operational areas, and service level targets. To ensure accountability, the agreement delineates for the Department and the Commission their roles and responsibilities in each service area, including the MAC database. The SLA defines the Commission's authority and ownership of all the Commission's data and databases, including MAC.</p> <p>To further define the roles and responsibilities and authority of the MAC database, the Commission has established Procedure Directive 2.01.07. This procedure directive sets forth the scope of functions, activities, and interrelationships of the Commission, the Department, and the Office of the Executive Clemency. It acknowledges that the Executive Clemency Coordinator is</p>	

Office of Policy and Budget - July 2013

SCHEDULE IX: MAJOR AUDIT FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Budget Period: 2014-15

Department: Florida Parole Commission

Chief Internal Auditor: (None) Gina Gicacomo

Budget Entity: 78010000

Phone Number: (850) 488-3415

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
REPORT NUMBER	PERIOD ENDING	UNIT/AREA	SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	SUMMARY OF CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN	ISSUE CODE
2013-033 Restoration of Civil Rights' Clemency Process	February 2012	Clemency		<p>the custodian of all clemency records. It also includes a requirement that prior to making modifications to the MAC database, or obtaining, changing, or terminating access to the MAC database, approval must be obtained from the Executive Clemency Coordinator or designee.</p> <p>The SLA has been amended to include the Office of Executive Clemency as the official custodian of clemency records and specifically defines the roles, responsibilities, and authority for the MAC database. Revisions to the SLA have been agreed upon by the Commission, the Department, and the Office of Executive Clemency. The Executive Clemency Coordinator's designee has been set forth in writing and posted on the Commission's website.</p>	

Office of Policy and Budget - July 2013

SCHEDULE IX: MAJOR AUDIT FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Budget Period: 2014-15

Department: Florida Parole Commission

Chief Internal Auditor: (None) Gina Gicacomo

Budget Entity: 78010000

Phone Number: (850) 488-3415

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
REPORT NUMBER	PERIOD ENDING	UNIT/AREA	SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	SUMMARY OF CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN	ISSUE CODE
2013-033 Restoration of Civil Rights' Clemency Process	February 2012	Clemency	<p>Finding 3: The Commission did not always follow change management control policies and procedures to ensure that the change management processes were appropriate.</p> <p>Recommendation: To ensure that the change management process operates appropriately, the Commission should work concurrently with the Office of Executive Clemency and the Department to enhance change management control procedures. Additionally, all parties should take the necessary steps to ensure adherence to the enhanced procedures.</p>	<p>Recommendation No. 3 Corrective Actions: The Commission has taken the following corrective measures as recommended. The Commission and the Department have four (4) procedure directives that establish change management controls and ensure that change management processes are appropriate. The Commission submits all service requests for modifications to the MAC database through the Service Level Agreement Tracking System (SLATS). In addition, the Commission has established Procedure Directive 2.01.07 acknowledging the Executive Clemency Coordinator as the custodian of all clemency records and includes a requirement that prior to making modifications to the MAC database, approval must be obtained from the Executive Clemency Coordinator or designee.</p> <p>The procedure directive requires all service requests for modifications to the MAC database be submitted by the Executive Clemency Coordinator or designee. It also requires the Executive Clemency Coordinator or designee to be responsible for coordinating.</p>	

Office of Policy and Budget - July 2013

SCHEDULE IX: MAJOR AUDIT FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Budget Period: 2014-15

Department: Florida Parole Commission

Chief Internal Auditor: (None) Gina Gicacomo

Budget Entity: 78010000

Phone Number: (850) 488-3415

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
REPORT NUMBER	PERIOD ENDING	UNIT/AREA	SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	SUMMARY OF CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN	ISSUE CODE
2013-033 Restoration of Civil Rights' Clemency Process	Feburary 2012	Clemency		<p>guiding, monitoring, and approving each work order under the service request to ensure the accountability of modifications to the MAC database.</p> <p>All service requests for modifications to the MAC database are submitted, coordinated, guided, monitored, and approved through the SLATS system by the Executive Clemency Coordinator or designee. The Executive Clemency Coordinator designee is set forth in writing and posted on the Commission's website.</p> <p>The SLA has been amended to include the Office of Executive Clemency as the official custodian of clemency records, all service requests for modifications to the MAC database must be submitted by the Executive Clemency Coordinator or designee, and the Executive Clemency Coordinator or designee is responsible for coordinating, guiding, monitoring and approving each work order under the service request to make modifications to the MAC database.</p>	

Office of Policy and Budget - July 2013

SCHEDULE IX: MAJOR AUDIT FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Budget Period: 2014-15

Department: Florida Parole Commission

Chief Internal Auditor: (None) Gina Gicacomo

Budget Entity: 78010000

Phone Number: (850) 488-3415

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
REPORT NUMBER	PERIOD ENDING	UNIT/AREA	SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	SUMMARY OF CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN	ISSUE CODE
2013-033 Restoration of Civil Rights' Clemency Process	February 2012	Clemency	<p>Finding 4: The Commission did not ensure that complete MAC access control records were retained, including the dates that access privileges were deactivated.</p> <p>Recommendation: The Commission, in conjunction with the Office of Executive Clemency and the Department, should ensure that access control records are retained as required by the General Records Schedule and enhance its practices to ensure its ability to demonstrate that the access privileges of all former employees are deactivated in a timely manner.</p>	<p>Recommendation No. 4 Corrective Actions: The Commission has taken the following corrective measures as recommended. The Commission follows the Department's Procedure Directive 206.007 to prevent unauthorized access and to ensure effective controls for timely deactivation of terminated employees' access privileges to all databases, including the Clemency database (MAC). The Commission submits database access requests to the Department through a web-based online Security Access Request (SAR).</p> <p>The Commission submitted a formal request (SLATS) to the Department to program the SAR system to route a SAR to the Executive Clemency Coordinator or designee for approval prior to being routed to the Department's Central Office Information Security Coordinator. The Department has completed the programming to the MAC database.</p>	

SCHEDULE IX: MAJOR AUDIT FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Budget Period: 2014-15

Department: Florida Parole Commission

Chief Internal Auditor: (None) Gina Gicacomo

Budget Entity: 78010000

Phone Number: (850) 488-3415

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
REPORT NUMBER	PERIOD ENDING	UNIT/AREA	SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	SUMMARY OF CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN	ISSUE CODE
2013-033 Restoration of Civil Rights' Clemency Process	February 2012	Clemency		<p>The Executive Clemency Coordinator has the ability to record the specific data of approval in the SAR system. The Department's Central Office Information Security Coordinator is not permitted to approve the MAC database access without the recorded "date of approval" by the Executive Clemency Coordinator or designee.</p> <p>A formal request (SLATS) was also submitted to the Department to program the MAC database to automatically record the "date of deactivation" when the Department's Information Technology staff deactivates an employee in the MAC database. The Department has completed the programming to the MAC database.</p> <p>The Commission's Procedure Directive 2.01.07 and the SLA include approval by the Executive Clemency Coordinator or designee prior to obtaining, changing, or terminating access to the MAC database.</p>	

Office of Policy and Budget - July 2013

SCHEDULE IX: MAJOR AUDIT FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Budget Period: 2014-15

Department: Florida Parole Commission

Chief Internal Auditor: (None) Gina Gicacomo

Budget Entity: 78010000

Phone Number: (850) 488-3415

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
REPORT NUMBER	PERIOD ENDING	UNIT/AREA	SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	SUMMARY OF CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN	ISSUE CODE
2013-033 Restoration of Civil Rights' Clemency Process	Feburary 2012	Clemency		<p>The Commission can demonstrate that access privileges of all former employees are deactivated from the MAC database in a timely manner. The Commission retains the supervisor's request to deactivate the employee's access from the MAC database and the SAR access control records dates of deactivation as required by the General Records Schedule.</p> <p>The Commission has increased the security of MAC database access privileges.</p>	

Office of Policy and Budget - July 2013

Fiscal Year 2014-15 LBR Technical Review Checklist

Department/Budget Entity (Service): Florida Parole Commission
Agency Budget Officer/OPB Analyst Name: Karen Huff/Thomas Dunn

A "Y" indicates "YES" and is acceptable, an "N/J" indicates "NO/Justification Provided" - these require further explanation/justification (additional sheets can be used as necessary), and "TIPS" are other areas to consider.

	Program or Service (Budget Entity Codes)				
Action	78	01	00	00	00

1. GENERAL

1.1 Are Columns A01, A02, A04, A05, A23, A24, A25, A36, A93, IA1, IA5, IA6, IP1, IV1, IV3 and NV1 set to TRANSFER CONTROL for DISPLAY status and MANAGEMENT CONTROL for UPDATE status for both the Budget and Trust Fund columns? Are Columns A06, A07, A08 and A09 for Fixed Capital Outlay (FCO) set to TRANSFER CONTROL for DISPLAY status only? (CSDI)	Yes				
1.2 Is Column A03 set to TRANSFER CONTROL for DISPLAY and UPDATE status for both the Budget and Trust Fund columns? (CSDI)	Yes				

AUDITS:

1.3 Has Column A03 been copied to Column A12? Run the Exhibit B Audit Comparison Report to verify. (EXBR, EXBA)	Yes				
1.4 Has security been set correctly? (CSDR, CSA)	Yes				
TIP The agency should prepare the budget request for submission in this order: 1) Lock columns as described above; 2) copy Column A03 to Column A12; and 3) set Column A12 column security to ALL for DISPLAY status and MANAGEMENT CONTROL for UPDATE status.					

2. EXHIBIT A (EADR, EXA)

2.1 Is the budget entity authority and description consistent with the agency's LRPP and does it conform to the directives provided on page 59 of the LBR Instructions?	Yes				
2.2 Are the statewide issues generated systematically (estimated expenditures, nonrecurring expenditures, etc.) included?	Yes				
2.3 Are the issue codes and titles consistent with <i>Section 3</i> of the LBR Instructions (pages 15 through 29)? Do they clearly describe the issue?	Yes				
2.4 Have the coding guidelines in <i>Section 3</i> of the LBR Instructions (pages 15 through 29) been followed?	Yes				

3. EXHIBIT B (EXBR, EXB)

3.1 Is it apparent that there is a fund shift where an appropriation category's funding source is different between A02 and A03? Were the issues entered into LAS/PBS correctly? Check D-3A funding shift issue 340XXX0 - a unique deduct and unique add back issue should be used to ensure fund shifts display correctly on the LBR exhibits.	N/A				
---	-----	--	--	--	--

AUDITS:

3.2 Negative Appropriation Category Audit for Agency Request (Columns A03 and A04): Are all appropriation categories positive by budget entity at the FSI level? Are all nonrecurring amounts less than requested amounts? (NACR, NAC - Report should print "No Negative Appropriation Categories Found")	Yes				
--	-----	--	--	--	--

		Program or Service (Budget Entity Codes)				
Action		78	01	00	00	00
3.3	Current Year Estimated Verification Comparison Report: Is Column A02 equal to Column B07? (EXBR, EXBC - Report should print "Records Selected Net To Zero")	Yes				
TIP	Generally look for and be able to fully explain significant differences between A02 and A03.					
TIP	Exhibit B - A02 equal to B07: Compares Current Year Estimated column to a backup of A02. This audit is necessary to ensure that the historical detail records have not been adjusted. Records selected should net to zero.					
TIP	Requests for appropriations which require advance payment authority must use the sub-title "Grants and Aids". For advance payment authority to local units of government, the Aid to Local Government appropriation category (05XXXX) should be used. For advance payment authority to non-profit organizations or other units of state government, the Special Categories appropriation category (10XXXX) should be used.					
4. EXHIBIT D (EADR, EXD)						
4.1	Is the program component objective statement consistent with the agency LRPP, and does it conform to the directives provided on page 61 of the LBR Instructions?	Yes				
4.2	Is the program component code and title used correct?	Yes				
TIP	Fund shifts or transfers of services or activities between program components will be displayed on an Exhibit D whereas it may not be visible on an Exhibit A.					
5. EXHIBIT D-1 (ED1R, EXD1)						
5.1	Are all object of expenditures positive amounts? (This is a manual check.)	Yes				
AUDITS:						
5.2	Do the fund totals agree with the object category totals within each appropriation category? (ED1R, XD1A - Report should print "No Differences Found For This Report")	Yes				
5.3	FLAIR Expenditure/Appropriation Ledger Comparison Report: Is Column A01 less than Column B04? (EXBR, EXBB - Negative differences need to be corrected in Column A01.)	Yes				
5.4	A01/State Accounts Disbursements and Carry Forward Comparison Report: Does Column A01 equal Column B08? (EXBR, EXBD - Differences need to be corrected in Column A01.)	Yes				
TIP	If objects are negative amounts, the agency must make adjustments to Column A01 to correct the object amounts. In addition, the fund totals must be adjusted to reflect the adjustment made to the object data.					
TIP	If fund totals and object totals do not agree or negative object amounts exist, the agency must adjust Column A01.					
TIP	Exhibit B - A01 less than B04: This audit is to ensure that the disbursements and carry/certifications forward in A01 are less than FY 2012-13 approved budget. Amounts should be positive.					

		Program or Service (Budget Entity Codes)				
Action		78	01	00	00	00
<p>TIP If B08 is not equal to A01, check the following: 1) the initial FLAIR disbursements or carry forward data load was corrected appropriately in A01; 2) the disbursement data from departmental FLAIR was reconciled to State Accounts; and 3) the FLAIR disbursements did not change after Column B08 was created.</p>						
6. EXHIBIT D-3 (ED3R, ED3) (Not required to be submitted in the LBR - for analytical purposes only.)						
6.1	Are issues appropriately aligned with appropriation categories?	Yes				
<p>TIP Exhibit D-3 is no longer required in the budget submission but may be needed for this particular appropriation category/issue sort. Exhibit D-3 is also a useful report when identifying negative appropriation category problems.</p>						
7. EXHIBIT D-3A (EADR, ED3A)						
7.1	Are the issue titles correct and do they clearly identify the issue? (See pages 15 through 31 of the LBR Instructions.)	Yes				
7.2	Does the issue narrative adequately explain the agency's request and is the explanation consistent with the LRPP? (See page 67-68 of the LBR Instructions.)	Yes				
7.3	Does the narrative for Information Technology (IT) issue follow the additional narrative requirements described on pages 69 through 71 of the LBR Instructions?	N/A				
7.4	Are all issues with an IT component identified with a "Y" in the "IT COMPONENT?" field? If the issue contains an IT component, has that component been identified and documented?	N/A				
7.5	Does the issue narrative explain any variances from the Standard Expense and Human Resource Services Assessments package? Is the nonrecurring portion in the nonrecurring column? (See pages E-4 and E-5 of the LBR Instructions.)	N/A				
7.6	Does the salary rate request amount accurately reflect any new requests and are the amounts proportionate to the Salaries and Benefits request? Note: Salary rate should always be annualized.	Yes				
7.7	Does the issue narrative thoroughly explain/justify all Salaries and Benefits amounts entered into the Other Salary Amounts transactions (OADA/C)? Amounts entered into OAD are reflected in the Position Detail of Salaries and Benefits section of the Exhibit D-3A.	Yes				
7.8	Does the issue narrative include the Consensus Estimating Conference forecast, where appropriate?	Yes				
7.9	Does the issue narrative reference the specific county(ies) where applicable?	Yes				
7.10	Do the 160XXX0 issues reflect budget amendments that have been approved (or in the process of being approved) and that have a recurring impact (including Lump Sums)? Have the approved budget amendments been entered in Column A18 as instructed in Memo #13-003?	N/A				
7.11	When appropriate are there any 160XXX0 issues included to delete positions placed in reserve in the OPB Position and Rate Ledger (e.g. unfunded grants)? Note: Lump sum appropriations not yet allocated should <u>not</u> be deleted. (PLRR, PLMO)	N/A				

		Program or Service (Budget Entity Codes)				
Action		78	01	00	00	00
7.12	Does the issue narrative include plans to satisfy additional space requirements when requesting additional positions?	N/A				
7.13	Has the agency included a 160XXX0 issue and 210XXXX and 260XXX0 issues as required for lump sum distributions?	N/A				
7.14	Do the amounts reflect appropriate FSI assignments?	Yes				
7.15	Are the 33XXXX0 issues negative amounts only and do not restore nonrecurring cuts from a prior year or fund any issues that net to a positive or zero amount? Check D-3A issues 33XXXX0 - a unique issue should be used for issues that net to zero or a positive amount.	N/A				
7.16	Do the issues relating to <i>salary and benefits</i> have an "A" in the fifth position of the issue code (XXXXAXX) and are they self-contained (not combined with other issues)? (See page 28 and 88 of the LBR Instructions.)	N/A				
7.17	Do the issues relating to <i>Information Technology (IT)</i> have a "C" in the sixth position of the issue code (36XXXXC) and are the correct issue codes used (361XXC0, 362XXC0, 363XXC0, 17C01C0, 17C02C0, 17C03C0, 24010C0, 33001C0 or 55C01C0)?	N/A				
7.18	Are the issues relating to <i>major audit findings and recommendations</i> properly coded (4A0XXX0, 4B0XXX0)?	N/A				
7.19	Does the issue narrative identify the strategy or strategies in the Five Year Statewide Strategic Plan for Economic Development as requested in Memo# 14-006?	Yes				
AUDIT:						
7.20	Are all FSI's equal to '1', '2', '3', or '9'? There should be no FSI's equal to '0'. (EADR, FSIA - Report should print "No Records Selected For Reporting")	Yes				
7.21	Does the General Revenue for 160XXXX (Adjustments to Current Year Expenditures) issues net to zero? (GENR, LBR1)	N/A				
7.22	Does the General Revenue for 180XXXX (Intra-Agency Reorganizations) issues net to zero? (GENR, LBR2)	N/A				
7.23	Does the General Revenue for 200XXXX (Estimated Expenditures Realignment) issues net to zero? (GENR, LBR3)	N/A				
7.24	Have FCO appropriations been entered into the nonrecurring column A04? (GENR, LBR4 - Report should print "No Records Selected For Reporting" or a listing of D-3A issue(s) assigned to Debt Service (IOE N) or in some cases State Capital Outlay - Public Education Capital Outlay (IOE L))	N/A				
TIP	Salaries and Benefits amounts entered using the OADA/C transactions must be thoroughly justified in the D-3A issue narrative. Agencies can run OADA/OADR from STAM to identify the amounts entered into OAD and ensure these entries have been thoroughly explained in the D-3A issue narrative.					
TIP	The issue narrative must completely and thoroughly explain and justify each D-3A issue. Agencies must ensure it provides the information necessary for the OPB and legislative analysts to have a complete understanding of the issue submitted. Thoroughly review pages 66 through 70 of the LBR Instructions.					

		Program or Service (Budget Entity Codes)				
Action		78	01	00	00	00
TIP	Check BAPS to verify status of budget amendments. Check for reapprovals not picked up in the General Appropriations Act. Verify that Lump Sum appropriations in Column A02 do not appear in Column A03. Review budget amendments to verify that 160XXX0 issue amounts correspond accurately and net to zero for General Revenue funds.					
TIP	If an agency is receiving federal funds from another agency the FSI should = 9 (Transfer - Recipient of Federal Funds). The agency that originally receives the funds directly from the federal agency should use FSI = 3 (Federal Funds).					
TIP	If an appropriation made in the FY 2013-14 General Appropriations Act duplicates an appropriation made in substantive legislation, the agency must create a unique deduct nonrecurring issue to eliminate the duplicated appropriation. Normally this is taken care of through line item veto.					
8. SCHEDULE I & RELATED DOCUMENTS (SC1R, SC1 - Budget Entity Level or SC1R, SC1D - Department Level)						
8.1	Has a separate department level Schedule I and supporting documents package been submitted by the agency?	Yes				
8.2	Has a Schedule I and Schedule IB been completed in LAS/PBS for each operating trust fund?	Yes				
8.3	Have the appropriate Schedule I supporting documents been included for the trust funds (Schedule IA, Schedule IC, and Reconciliation to Trial Balance)?	Yes				
8.4	Have the Examination of Regulatory Fees Part I and Part II forms been included for the applicable regulatory programs?	N/A				
8.5	Have the required detailed narratives been provided (5% trust fund reserve narrative; method for computing the distribution of cost for general management and administrative services narrative; adjustments narrative; revenue estimating methodology narrative)?	Yes				
8.6	Has the Inter-Agency Transfers Reported on Schedule I form been included as applicable for transfers totaling \$100,000 or more for the fiscal year?	N/A				
8.7	If the agency is scheduled for the annual trust fund review this year, have the Schedule ID and applicable draft legislation been included for recreation, modification or termination of existing trust funds?	N/A				
8.8	If the agency is scheduled for the annual trust fund review this year, have the necessary trust funds been requested for creation pursuant to <i>section 215.32(2)(b), Florida Statutes</i> - including the Schedule ID and applicable legislation?	N/A				
8.9	Are the revenue codes correct? In the case of federal revenues, has the agency appropriately identified direct versus indirect receipts (object codes 000700, 000750, 000799, 001510 and 001599)? For non-grant federal revenues, is the correct revenue code identified (codes 000504, 000119, 001270, 001870, 001970)?	Yes				
8.10	Are the statutory authority references correct?	Yes				
8.11	Are the General Revenue Service Charge percentage rates used for each revenue source correct? (Refer to Chapter 2009-78, Laws of Florida, for appropriate general revenue service charge percentage rates.)	N/A				
8.12	Is this an accurate representation of revenues based on the most recent Consensus Estimating Conference forecasts?	N/A				

		Program or Service (Budget Entity Codes)				
Action		78	01	00	00	00
8.13	If there is no Consensus Estimating Conference forecast available, do the revenue estimates appear to be reasonable?	Yes				
8.14	Are the federal funds revenues reported in Section I broken out by individual grant? Are the correct CFDA codes used?	Yes				
8.15	Are anticipated grants included and based on the state fiscal year (rather than federal fiscal year)?	Yes				
8.16	Are the Schedule I revenues consistent with the FSI's reported in the Exhibit D-3A?	Yes				
8.17	If applicable, are nonrecurring revenues entered into Column A04?	N/A				
8.18	Has the agency certified the revenue estimates in columns A02 and A03 to be the latest and most accurate available? Does the certification include a statement that the agency will notify OPB of any significant changes in revenue estimates that occur prior to the Governor's Budget Recommendations being issued?	Yes				
8.19	Is a 5% trust fund reserve reflected in Section II? If not, is sufficient justification provided for exemption? Are the additional narrative requirements provided?	N/A				
8.20	Are appropriate service charge nonoperating amounts included in Section II?	N/A				
8.21	Are nonoperating expenditures to other budget entities/departments cross-referenced accurately?	N/A				
8.22	Do transfers balance between funds (within the agency as well as between agencies)? (See also 8.6 for required transfer confirmation of amounts totaling \$100,000 or more.)	N/A				
8.23	Are nonoperating expenditures recorded in Section II and adjustments recorded in Section III?	N/A				
8.24	Are prior year September operating reversions appropriately shown in column A01?	Yes				
8.25	Are current year September operating reversions appropriately shown in column A02?	Yes				
8.26	Does the Schedule IC properly reflect the unreserved fund balance for each trust fund as defined by the LBR Instructions, and is it reconciled to the agency accounting records?	Yes				
8.27	Does Column A01 of the Schedule I accurately represent the actual prior year accounting data as reflected in the agency accounting records, and is it provided in sufficient detail for analysis?	Yes				
8.28	Does Line I of Column A01 (Schedule I) equal Line K of the Schedule IC?	Yes				
AUDITS:						
8.29	Is Line I a positive number? (If not, the agency must adjust the budget request to eliminate the deficit).	Yes				
8.30	Is the June 30 Adjusted Unreserved Fund Balance (Line I) equal to the July 1 Unreserved Fund Balance (Line A) of the following year? If a Schedule IB was prepared, do the totals agree with the Schedule I, Line I? (SC1R, SC1A - Report should print "No Discrepancies Exist For This Report")	Yes				

		Program or Service (Budget Entity Codes)				
Action		78	01	00	00	00
8.31	Has a Department Level Reconciliation been provided for each trust fund and does Line A of the Schedule I equal the CFO amount? If not, the agency must correct Line A. (SC1R, DEPT)	Yes				
TIP	The Schedule I is the most reliable source of data concerning the trust funds. It is very important that this schedule is as accurate as possible!					
TIP	Determine if the agency is scheduled for trust fund review. (See page 128 of the LBR Instructions.) Transaction DFTR in LAS/PBS is also available and provides an LBR review date for each trust fund.					
TIP	Review the unreserved fund balances and compare revenue totals to expenditure totals to determine and understand the trust fund status.					
TIP	Typically nonoperating expenditures and revenues should not be a negative number. Any negative numbers must be fully justified.					
9. SCHEDULE II (PSCR, SC2)						
AUDIT:						
9.1	Is the pay grade minimum for salary rate utilized for positions in segments 2 and 3? (BRAR, BRAA - Report should print "No Records Selected For This Request") Note: Amounts other than the pay grade minimum should be fully justified in the D-3A issue narrative. (See <i>Base Rate Audit</i> on page 158 of the LBR Instructions.)	Yes				
10. SCHEDULE III (PSCR, SC3)						
10.1	Is the appropriate lapse amount applied in Segment 3? (See page 91 of the LBR Instructions.)	Yes				
10.2	Are amounts in <i>Other Salary Amount</i> appropriate and fully justified? (See page 98 of the LBR Instructions for appropriate use of the OAD transaction.) Use OADI or OADR to identify agency other salary amounts requested.	Yes				
11. SCHEDULE IV (EADR, SC4)						
11.1	Are the correct Information Technology (IT) issue codes used?	N/A				
TIP	If IT issues are not coded correctly (with "C" in 6th position), they will not appear in the Schedule IV.					
12. SCHEDULE VIIIA (EADR, SC8A)						
12.1	Is there only one #1 priority, one #2 priority, one #3 priority, etc. reported on the Schedule VIII-A? Are the priority narrative explanations adequate? Note: FCO issues can now be included in the priority listing.	Yes				
13. SCHEDULE VIIIB-1 (EADR, S8B1)						
13.1	NOT REQUIRED FOR THIS YEAR					

	Program or Service (Budget Entity Codes)				
Action	78	01	00	00	00

14. SCHEDULE VIII B-2 (EADR, S8B2)

14.1	Do the reductions comply with the instructions provided on pages 102 through 104 of the LBR Instructions regarding a 5% reduction in recurring General Revenue and Trust Funds, including the verification that the 33BXXX0 issue has NOT been used?	Yes				
------	--	-----	--	--	--	--

**15. SCHEDULE VIII C (EADR, S8C)
(LAS/PBS Web - see page 105-107 of the LBR Instructions for detailed instructions)**

15.1	Agencies are required to generate this schedule via the LAS/PBS Web.	Yes				
15.2	Does the schedule include at least three and no more than 10 unique reprioritization issues, in priority order? Manual Check.	Yes				
15.3	Does the schedule display reprioritization issues that are each comprised of two unique issues - a deduct component and an add-back component which net to zero at the department level?	Yes				
15.4	Are the priority narrative explanations adequate and do they follow the guidelines on pages 105-107 of the LBR instructions?	Yes				
15.5	Does the issue narrative in A6 address the following: Does the state have the authority to implement the reprioritization issues independent of other entities (federal and local governments, private donors, etc.)? Are the reprioritization issues an allowable use of the recommended funding source?	Yes				

AUDIT:

15.6	Do the issues net to zero at the department level? (GENR, LBR5)	Yes				
------	---	-----	--	--	--	--

16. SCHEDULE XI (USCR, SCXI) (LAS/PBS Web - see page 108-112 of the LBR Instructions for detailed instructions)

16.1	Agencies are required to generate this spreadsheet via the LAS/PBS Web. The Final Excel version no longer has to be submitted to OPB for inclusion on the Governor's Florida Performs Website. (Note: Pursuant to <i>section 216.023(4) (b), Florida Statutes</i> , the Legislature can reduce the funding level for any agency that does not provide this information.)	Yes				
16.2	Do the PDF files uploaded to the Florida Fiscal Portal for the LRPP and LBR match?	Yes				

AUDITS INCLUDED IN THE SCHEDULE XI REPORT:

16.3	Does the FY 2012-13 Actual (prior year) Expenditures in Column A36 reconcile to Column A01? (GENR, ACT1)	Yes				
16.4	None of the executive direction, administrative support and information technology statewide activities (ACT0010 thru ACT0490) have output standards (Record Type 5)? (Audit #1 should print "No Activities Found")	Yes				
16.5	Does the Fixed Capital Outlay (FCO) statewide activity (ACT0210) only contain 08XXXX or 14XXXX appropriation categories? (Audit #2 should print "No Operating Categories Found")	N/A				

		Program or Service (Budget Entity Codes)				
Action		78	01	00	00	00
16.6	Has the agency provided the necessary standard (Record Type 5) for all activities which <u>should</u> appear in Section II? (Note: Audit #3 will identify those activities that do NOT have a Record Type '5' and have not been identified as a 'Pass Through' activity. These activities will be displayed in Section III with the 'Payment of Pensions, Benefits and Claims' activity and 'Other' activities. Verify if these activities should be displayed in Section III. If not, an output standard would need to be added for that activity and the Schedule XI submitted again.)	N/A				
16.7	Does Section I (Final Budget for Agency) and Section III (Total Budget for Agency) equal? (Audit #4 should print "No Discrepancies Found")	No				
TIP If Section I and Section III have a small difference, it may be due to rounding and therefore will be acceptable.						
17. MANUALLY PREPARED EXHIBITS & SCHEDULES						
17.1	Do exhibits and schedules comply with LBR Instructions (pages 110 through 154 of the LBR Instructions), and are they accurate and complete?	Yes				
17.2	Are appropriation category totals comparable to Exhibit B, where applicable?	Yes				
17.3	Are agency organization charts (Schedule X) provided and at the appropriate level of detail?	Yes				
AUDITS - GENERAL INFORMATION						
TIP Review <i>Section 6: Audits</i> of the LBR Instructions (pages 156-158) for a list of audits and their descriptions.						
TIP Reorganizations may cause audit errors. Agencies must indicate that these errors are due to an agency reorganization to justify the audit error.						
18. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM (CIP)						
18.1	Are the CIP-2, CIP-3, CIP-A and CIP-B forms included?	Yes				
18.2	Are the CIP-4 and CIP-5 forms submitted when applicable (see CIP Instructions)?	Yes				
18.3	Do all CIP forms comply with CIP Instructions where applicable (see CIP Instructions)?	Yes				
18.4	Does the agency request include 5 year projections (Columns A03, A06, A07, A08 and A09)?	N/A				
18.5	Are the appropriate counties identified in the narrative?	N/A				
18.6	Has the CIP-2 form (Exhibit B) been modified to include the agency priority for each project and the modified form saved as a PDF document?	N/A				
TIP Requests for Fixed Capital Outlay appropriations which are Grants and Aids to Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations must use the Grants and Aids to Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations - Fixed Capital Outlay major appropriation category (140XXX) and include the sub-title "Grants and Aids". These appropriations utilize a CIP-B form as justification.						
19. FLORIDA FISCAL PORTAL						
19.1	Have all files been assembled correctly and posted to the Florida Fiscal Portal as outlined in the Florida Fiscal Portal Submittal Process?	Yes				