

BNEADL01 LAS/PBS SYSTEM  
BUDGET PERIOD: 2004-2015  
STATE OF FLORIDA

SCHEDULE VIIIC  
PRIORITY LISTING FOR POSSIBLE  
REPRIORITIZATION FOR REQUEST YEAR

SP 10/15/2013 11:08 PAGE: 1  
ERROR REPORT

BUDGET ENTITY	D3A ISSUE CODE	COLUMN NUMBERS	CODE	ERROR MESSAGE	PAGE
---------------	----------------	----------------	------	---------------	------

---

THERE WERE 0 ERRORS DETECTED

	COL A23 SCH VIIIIC REPRIORTIZN POS	COL A24 SCH VIIIIC N/R 2014-15 POS	COL A25 SCH VIIIIC ANZ 2014-15 POS	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	CODES
GOVERNOR, EXECUTIVE OFFICE							31000000
PGM: GENERAL OFFICE							31100000
<u>EXEC PLANNING &amp; BUDGETING</u>							31100600
GOV OPERATIONS/SUPPORT							16
<u>EXEC LEADERSHIP/SUPPRT SVC</u>							<u>1602.00.00.00</u>
FUNDING REPRIORITIZATIONS							3D00000
REVISE AGENCY REORGANIZATION							
APPROVAL PROCESS - OFFICE OF POLICY							
AND BUDGET - ADD							3D01000
LUMP SUM							090000
EOG - OPB							090261
GENERAL REVENUE FUND							
-STATE		1					1000 1

\*\*\*\*\*

AGENCY ISSUE NARRATIVE:

SCHED VIIIIC REPRIORTIZN NARRATIVE:  
 PRIORITY #1

IT COMPONENT? NO

Pursuant to Section 20.04(7)(b), Florida Statutes, the Executive Office of the Governor - Office of Policy and Budget (OPB) processes agencies' reorganizational requests at the bureau, section, and subsection level. While the head of each department may recommend and initiate the establishment of additional divisions, bureaus, sections and subsections, the Department of Management Services (DMS) and the Executive Office of the Governor (EOG) have the responsibility as defined in law to recommend and approve such requests, respectively; unless reorganization is sought or mandated legislatively.

OPB processes an average of 35 reorganizations each fiscal year. Over the years, many agencies have moved away from this traditional organizational structure to a more programmatic and service oriented structure that lends itself to a better correlation to the budget entity structure that is authorized in the General Appropriations Act. The values of a DMS recommendation and EOG approval have been greatly diminished as the various agency organizational structures display either a hybrid or full programmatic make-up. Consequently, any consistent review and approval among state agencies is lost. A more efficient and effective approach would be to eliminate this review and approval requirement of the functional structure of an agency and focus on the programmatic, service-oriented budgetary framework of an agency as funding proposals are made during the Governor's Recommended Budget.

Further discussion between OPB and DMS is required to determine appropriate levels of organizational structures and oversight. The OPB provides a statewide function by reviewing reorganizations across all agencies. Their work involves not only OPB and Executive Office staff, but also external agency management and budget staff. Therefore, a defined, defendable cost savings that included both internal and external factors to the agency was not determinable at the time of this review. Any cost savings by revision or elimination of Section 20.04(7), F.S, would be directed back to the Office of Policy and Budget for the purposes of streamlining and redefining organization structures and the criteria for oversight.

\*\*\*\*\*

	COL A23 SCH VIIIIC REPRIORTIZN POS	COL A24 SCH VIIIIC N/R 2014-15 POS	COL A25 SCH VIIIIC ANZ 2014-15 POS	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	CODES
GOVERNOR, EXECUTIVE OFFICE							31000000
PGM: GENERAL OFFICE							31100000
<u>EXEC PLANNING &amp; BUDGETING</u>							31100600
GOV OPERATIONS/SUPPORT							16
<u>EXEC LEADERSHIP/SUPPRT SVC</u>							<u>1602.00.00.00</u>
FUNDING REPRIORITIZATIONS							3D00000
REVISE AGENCY REORGANIZATION							
APPROVAL PROCESS - OFFICE OF POLICY							
AND BUDGET - DEDUCT							3D01100
LUMP SUM							090000
EOG - OPB							090261
GENERAL REVENUE FUND							
-STATE		1-					1000 1

\*\*\*\*\*

AGENCY ISSUE NARRATIVE:

SCHED VIIIIC REPRIORTIZN NARRATIVE: IT COMPONENT? NO  
 PRIORITY #1

Pursuant to Section 20.04(7)(b), Florida Statutes, the Executive Office of the Governor - Office of Policy and Budget (OPB) processes agencies' reorganizational requests at the bureau, section, and subsection level. While the head of each department may recommend and initiate the establishment of additional divisions, bureaus, sections and subsections, the Department of Management Services (DMS) and the Executive Office of the Governor (EOG) have the responsibility as defined in law to recommend and approve such requests, respectively; unless reorganization is sought or mandated legislatively.

OPB processes an average of 35 reorganizations each fiscal year. Over the years, many agencies have moved away from this traditional organizational structure to a more programmatic and service oriented structure that lends itself to a better correlation to the budget entity structure that is authorized in the General Appropriations Act. The values of a DMS recommendation and EOG approval have been greatly diminished as the various agency organizational structures display either a hybrid or full programmatic make-up. Consequently, any consistent review and approval among state agencies is lost. A more efficient and effective approach would be to eliminate this review and approval requirement of the functional structure of an agency and focus on the programmatic, service-oriented budgetary framework of an agency as funding proposals are made during the Governor's Recommended Budget.

Further discussion between OPB and DMS is required to determine appropriate levels of organizational structures and oversight. The OPB provides a statewide function by reviewing reorganizations across all agencies. Their work involves not only OPB and Executive Office staff, but also external agency management and budget staff. Therefore, a defined, defendable cost savings that included both internal and external factors to the agency was not determinable at the time of this review. Any cost savings by revision or elimination of Section 20.04(7), F.S, would be directed back to the Office of Policy and Budget for the purposes of streamlining and redefining organization structures and the criteria for oversight.

\*\*\*\*\*

	COL A23 SCH VIIIIC REPRIORTIZN POS	COL A24 SCH VIIIIC N/R 2014-15 POS	COL A25 SCH VIIIIC ANZ 2014-15 POS	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	CODES
GOVERNOR, EXECUTIVE OFFICE							31000000
PGM: GENERAL OFFICE							31100000
<u>EXEC PLANNING &amp; BUDGETING</u>							31100600
GOV OPERATIONS/SUPPORT							16
<u>EXEC LEADERSHIP/SUPPRT SVC</u>							<u>1602.00.00.00</u>
FUNDING REPRIORITIZATIONS							3D00000
REVISE PUBLIC BUDGET HEARING							
PROCESS - ADD							3D02000
LUMP SUM							090000
EOG - OPB							090261
GENERAL REVENUE FUND -STATE		1					1000 1

\*\*\*\*\*

AGENCY ISSUE NARRATIVE:

SCHED VIIIIC REPRIORTIZN NARRATIVE:  
 PRIORITY #2

IT COMPONENT? NO

Pursuant to Section 216.131, Florida Statutes, the Governor has to provide for at least one public hearing on issues contained in the agencies' Legislative Budget Requests (LBR). The hearing must be conducted prior to the submission of budget recommendations to the Legislature and must provide an opportunity for active participation and questions from the public.

In recent years, public participation in these budget hearings has significantly decreased. Presumably, in large part due to information now being provided on the Florida Fiscal Portal where online reviews can be conducted immediately after the submission of an LBR rather than having to wait for a public hearing. In light of the movement toward providing information to the public in a more timely and web-based fashion, we believe public hearings provide less timely information and are time consuming to OPB and state agency staff without justifiable benefit to the public. While we agree that an opportunity for active public review and participation is a pillar of Government in the Sunshine, we recommend that a more efficient, electronic approach be researched for use into the future.

The OPB provides a statewide function by reviewing Legislative Budget Requests and assisting agencies with presentations and associated logistics. Their work involves not only OPB and Executive Office staff, but also external agency management and budget staff. Therefore, a defined, defensible cost savings that included both internal and external factors to the agency was not determinable at the time of this review. Any cost savings by revision or elimination of Section 216.131, F.S, would be directed back to the Office of Policy and Budget for the purposes of researching and establishing a more efficient approach to public hearings and notifications.

\*\*\*\*\*

	COL A23 SCH VIIIIC REPRIORTIZN POS	COL A24 SCH VIIIIC N/R 2014-15 POS	COL A25 SCH VIIIIC ANZ 2014-15 POS	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	CODES
GOVERNOR, EXECUTIVE OFFICE							31000000
PGM: GENERAL OFFICE							31100000
<u>EXEC PLANNING &amp; BUDGETING</u>							31100600
GOV OPERATIONS/SUPPORT							16
<u>EXEC LEADERSHIP/SUPPRT SVC</u>							<u>1602.00.00.00</u>
FUNDING REPRIORITIZATIONS							3D00000
REVISE PUBLIC BUDGET HEARING							
PROCESS - DEDUCT							3D02100
LUMP SUM							090000
EOG - OPB							090261
GENERAL REVENUE FUND -STATE		1-					1000 1

\*\*\*\*\*

AGENCY ISSUE NARRATIVE:

SCHED VIIIIC REPRIORTIZN NARRATIVE:  
 PRIORITY #2

IT COMPONENT? NO

Pursuant to Section 216.131, Florida Statutes, the Governor has to provide for at least one public hearing on issues contained in the agencies' Legislative Budget Requests (LBR). The hearing must be conducted prior to the submission of budget recommendations to the Legislature and must provide an opportunity for active participation and questions from the public.

In recent years, public participation in these budget hearings has significantly decreased. Presumably, in large part due to information now being provided on the Florida Fiscal Portal where online reviews can be conducted immediately after the submission of an LBR rather than having to wait for a public hearing. In light of the movement toward providing information to the public in a more timely and web-based fashion, we believe public hearings provide less timely information and are time consuming to OPB and state agency staff without justifiable benefit to the public. While we agree that an opportunity for active public review and participation is a pillar of Government in the Sunshine, we recommend that a more efficient, electronic approach be researched for use into the future.

The OPB provides a statewide function by reviewing Legislative Budget Requests and assisting agencies with presentations and associated logistics. Their work involves not only OPB and Executive Office staff, but also external agency management and budget staff. Therefore, a defined, defensible cost savings that included both internal and external factors to the agency was not determinable at the time of this review. Any cost savings by revision or elimination of Section 216.131, F.S, would be directed back to the Office of Policy and Budget for the purposes of researching and establishing a more efficient approach to public hearings and notifications.

\*\*\*\*\*

	COL A23 SCH VIIIIC REPRIORTIZN POS	COL A24 SCH VIIIIC N/R 2014-15 POS	COL A25 SCH VIIIIC ANZ 2014-15 POS	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	CODES
GOVERNOR, EXECUTIVE OFFICE							31000000
PGM: GENERAL OFFICE							31100000
<u>EXEC PLANNING &amp; BUDGETING</u>							31100600
GOV OPERATIONS/SUPPORT							16
<u>EXEC LEADERSHIP/SUPPRT SVC</u>							<u>1602.00.00.00</u>
FUNDING REPRIORITIZATIONS							3D00000
REVISE BUDGET AMENDMENT THRESHOLD - ADD							3D03000
LUMP SUM							090000
EOG - OPB							090261
GENERAL REVENUE FUND -STATE		1					1000 1

\*\*\*\*\*

AGENCY ISSUE NARRATIVE:  
 SCHED VIIIIC REPRIORTIZN NARRATIVE: IT COMPONENT? NO  
 PRIORITY #3

As directed by Section 216.181(11), Florida Statutes, the Legislative Budget Commission (LBR) is required to conduct meetings at least on a quarterly basis and is charged, among other things, with approving changes in the amounts appropriated from state trust funds in excess of \$1 million. This threshold is a cumulative amount that requires state agencies to request LBC approval even for nominal amounts after the \$1 million threshold has been reached. The \$1 million threshold has not been adjusted since its initial passage in law in 2001. We recommend a more practical approach to increase the threshold to at least \$2 million. Additionally, we recommend providing provisions in Chapter 216 to allow the Governor to approve budget amendments, after a 14-day legislative consultation, if an LBC meeting does not occur within the required three months period. Both actions would allow for a more efficient processing of budget amendments. OPB provides a statewide function by reviewing all budget amendments and assisting agencies as needed in their compilation. LBC budget amendment review and evaluation involves not only OPB and Executive Office staff, but also external agency management/budget staff and Legislative members and staff. Therefore, a defined, defensible cost savings that included both internal and external factors to the agency was not determinable at the time of this review. Any cost savings by revision or elimination of Section 216.131, F.S, would be directed back to the Office of Policy and Budget for same the purpose.

\*\*\*\*\*

REVISE BUDGET AMENDMENT THRESHOLD - DEDUCT							3D03100
LUMP SUM							090000
EOG - OPB							090261
GENERAL REVENUE FUND -STATE		1-					1000 1

\*\*\*\*\*

AGENCY ISSUE NARRATIVE:  
 SCHED VIIIIC REPRIORTIZN NARRATIVE: IT COMPONENT? NO  
 PRIORITY #3

COL A23		COL A24		COL A25		CODES
SCH VIIIIC		SCH VIIIIC		SCH VIIIIC		
REPRIORTIZN		N/R 2014-15		ANZ 2014-15		
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	
GOVERNOR, EXECUTIVE OFFICE						31000000
PGM: GENERAL OFFICE						31100000
<u>EXEC PLANNING &amp; BUDGETING</u>						31100600
GOV OPERATIONS/SUPPORT						16
<u>EXEC LEADERSHIP/SUPPRT SVC</u>						<u>1602.00.00.00</u>
FUNDING REPRIORITIZATIONS						3D00000
REVISE BUDGET AMENDMENT THRESHOLD -						
DEDUCT						3D03100

As directed by Section 216.181(11), Florida Statutes, the Legislative Budget Commission (LBR) is required to conduct meetings at least on a quarterly basis and is charged, among other things, with approving changes in the amounts appropriated from state trust funds in excess of \$1 million. This threshold is a cumulative amount that requires state agencies to request LBC approval even for nominal amounts after the \$1 million threshold has been reached. The \$1 million threshold has not been adjusted since its initial passage in law in 2001. We recommend a more practical approach to increase the threshold to at least \$2 million. Additionally, we recommend providing provisions in Chapter 216 to allow the Governor to approve budget amendments, after a 14-day legislative consultation, if an LBC meeting does not occur within the required three months period. Both actions would allow for a more efficient processing of budget amendments. OPB provides a statewide function by reviewing all budget amendments and assisting agencies as needed in their compilation. LBC budget amendment review and evaluation involves not only OPB and Executive Office staff, but also external agency management/budget staff and Legislative members and staff. Therefore, a defined, defensible cost savings that included both internal and external factors to the agency was not determinable at the time of this review. Any cost savings by revision or elimination of Section 216.131, F.S, would be directed back to the Office of Policy and Budget for same the purpose.

\*\*\*\*\*

	COL A23 SCH VIIIIC REPRIORTIZN POS	COL A24 SCH VIIIIC N/R 2014-15 POS	COL A25 SCH VIIIIC ANZ 2014-15 POS	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	CODES
GOVERNOR, EXECUTIVE OFFICE							31000000
PGM: EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT							31700000
<u>EMERG PREV/PREP/RESPONSE</u>							31700100
PUBLIC PROTECTION							12
<u>EMERGENCY PREV/PREP/RESPNS</u>							<u>1208.00.00.00</u>
FUNDING REPRIORITIZATIONS							3D00000
REPRIORITIZATION OF RESOURCES							
RELATED TO HAZARDOUS MATERIALS							
ANALYSES FUNDING - DIVISION OF							
EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT - ADD							3D09110
SPECIAL CATEGORIES							100000
FL HAZARDOUS MATERIALS P P							107888
OPERATING TRUST FUND							
-MATCH				440,000			2510 2

\*\*\*\*\*

AGENCY ISSUE NARRATIVE:

SCHED VIIIIC REPRIORTIZN NARRATIVE:  
 PRIORITY #101

IT COMPONENT? NO

Currently, there is no nationwide chemical database in place that contains both hazardous materials facilities and public sector (first responder) information and planning. An executive order that has recently been signed by the President has instructed the Environmental Protection Agency and the Department of Homeland Security to implement such a system in response to the chemical incident in Texas. Eplan allows for both the private sector to input their hazardous materials information, allow the public sector to develop emergency plans in response to this information and allow weather information to be accessed in developing on scene response protocols. The resources identified can be utilized to further enhance chemical database technology (Eplan) to incorporate hazardous materials release modeling that can be used by first responders to determine evacuation needs. Once the modeling functionality is completed, training of emergency dispatchers can be trained to use the modeling as part of the information provided to the first responders prior to them arriving on scene. In addition, DEM would partner with local fire jurisdictions (concentrating on rural), Department of Homeland Security Chemical Facilities Anti-Terrorism Standards Program, and the Environmental Protection Agency to conduct joint visits to provide a more comprehensive inspection report that can be used by all programs and be a more efficient use of both the facilities time and the government inspectors time plus solidify the state and federal governments partnership. To reprioritize these funds, concurrency with the State Emergency Response Commission will have to be obtained. THE PRIORITY NUMBER RELATES SPECIFICALLY TO THE DIVISION OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT.

\*\*\*\*\*



	COL A23 SCH VIIIIC REPRIORTIZN POS	COL A24 SCH VIIIIC N/R 2014-15 POS	COL A25 SCH VIIIIC ANZ 2014-15 POS	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	CODES
GOVERNOR, EXECUTIVE OFFICE							31000000
PGM: EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT							31700000
<u>EMERG PREV/PREP/RESPONSE</u>							31700100
PUBLIC PROTECTION							12
<u>EMERGENCY PREV/PREP/RESPNS</u>							<u>1208.00.00.00</u>
FUNDING REPRIORITIZATIONS							3D00000
REPRIORITIZATION OF RESOURCES RELATED TO HAZARDOUS MATERIALS ANALYSES FUNDING - DIVISION OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT - DEDUCT SPECIAL CATEGORIES							3D09120 100000 107888
FL HAZARDOUS MATERIALS P P							
OPERATING TRUST FUND	-MATCH			440,000-			2510 2

\*\*\*\*\*

AGENCY ISSUE NARRATIVE:  
 SCHED VIIIIC REPRIORTIZN NARRATIVE:  
 PRIORITY #101

IT COMPONENT? NO

The division provides funding to approximately 45 counties and 6 Regional Planning Councils to perform hazard analysis as part of an agreement made years ago between the State Emergency Response Commission and the counties. These entities perform on-site verification visits to chemical facilities handling extremely hazardous substances which check the accuracy of the chemical inventory, location, contact person and site plan. Information is then compiled into a Computer Aided Management of Emergency Operations (CAMEO) file and provided to the division, Local Emergency Planning Council and the local fire departments. Currently, these site visits are duplicative as most local fire jurisdictions already have the responsibility to conduct these visits through the State Fire Marshall which are more comprehensive than the visits conducted under the agreement. The individuals performing the visits under the current agreements do not have the expertise in hazardous materials and as such the information they obtain and provide to the local fire jurisdictions is not used. Approximately 40% of the funding provided under the agreement is used for administrative costs. CAMEO is an application that is downloaded from the Environmental Protection Agency that is used to report the information obtained from the Hazard Analysis site visits. CAMEO can be used on scene of a hazardous materials release to provide vulnerabilities but the hazardous materials facilities cannot load their information. THE PRIORITY NUMBER RELATES SPECIFICALLY TO THE DIVISION OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT.

\*\*\*\*\*

REPRIORITIZATION OF RESOURCES RELATED TO RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCTION MITIGATION FUNDING - DIVISION OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT - ADD SPECIAL CATEGORIES G/A-HURRICANE LOSS MITIG							3D09210 100000 105860
GRANTS AND DONATIONS TF	-MATCH			2,800,000			2339 2

=====

COL A23		COL A24		COL A25		CODES
SCH VIIIIC	REPRIORTIZN	SCH VIIIIC	N/R 2014-15	SCH VIIIIC	ANZ 2014-15	
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	

GOVERNOR, EXECUTIVE OFFICE						31000000
PGM: EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT						31700000
<u>EMERG PREV/PREP/RESPONSE</u>						31700100
PUBLIC PROTECTION						12
<u>EMERGENCY PREV/PREP/RESPNS</u>						<u>1208.00.00.00</u>
FUNDING REPRIORITIZATIONS						3D00000
REPRIORITIZATION OF RESOURCES						
RELATED TO RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCTION						
MITIGATION FUNDING - DIVISION OF						
EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT - ADD						3D09210

\*\*\*\*\*

AGENCY ISSUE NARRATIVE:  
 SCHED VIIIIC REPRIORTIZN NARRATIVE: IT COMPONENT? NO  
 PRIORITY #102

Utilize the resources to provide wind mitigation of residences (not mobile homes) in the state's high velocity wind zones and coastal regions that will yield the highest rate of return on the investment. This would be accomplished through the current competitive grant process for the Residential Construction Mitigation Program. Eligible activities could include, but not limited to, hurricane roof tie down, hurricane shuttering, and wind reinforcement of garage doors. In addition, these residences may be eligible for discounts from their insurance carriers for the wind mitigation action. This would require eliminating Section 215.559 (2)(a), (b) 1-4, Florida Statutes. THIS PRIORITY NUMBER RELATES SPECIFICALLY TO THE DIVISION OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT.

\*\*\*\*\*

REPRIORITIZATION OF RESOURCES						
RELATED TO RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCTION						
MITIGATION FUNDING - DIVISION OF						
EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT - DEDUCT						3D09220
SPECIAL CATEGORIES						100000
G/A-HURRICANE LOSS MITIG						105860
GRANTS AND DONATIONS TF	-MATCH	2,800,000-				2339 2

=====

\*\*\*\*\*

AGENCY ISSUE NARRATIVE:  
 SCHED VIIIIC REPRIORTIZN NARRATIVE: IT COMPONENT? NO  
 PRIORITY #102

Section 215.559, Florida Statutes, \$2.8 million of the funding is provided to Tallahassee Community College for a program to inspect and improve tie-downs for mobile homes. The Manufactured Housing and Mobile Home Mitigation and Enhancement Program requires the mitigation or enhancement of manufactured or mobile home to include but not be limited to problems associated with weakened trusses, studs, and other structural components caused by wood rot or termite damage; site-built additions; or tie-down systems and other issues deemed appropriate by TCC, the Federation of Manufactured Home Owners of Florida, the Florida Manufactured Housing Association and the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles. Another component of the program is to perform education and outreach to owners of the benefits of the program. The objective of

COL A23		COL A24		COL A25		CODES
SCH VIIIIC		SCH VIIIIC		SCH VIIIIC		
REPRIORTIZN		N/R 2014-15		ANZ 2014-15		
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	
						31000000
						31700000
						31700100
						12
						<u>1208.00.00.00</u>
						3D00000
						3D09220

GOVERNOR, EXECUTIVE OFFICE  
 PGM: EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT  
EMERG PREV/PREP/RESPONSE  
 PUBLIC PROTECTION  
EMERGENCY PREV/PREP/RESPNS  
 FUNDING REPRIORITIZATIONS  
 REPRIORITIZATION OF RESOURCES  
 RELATED TO RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCTION  
 MITIGATION FUNDING - DIVISION OF  
 EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT - DEDUCT

31000000  
 31700000  
 31700100  
 12  
1208.00.00.00  
 3D00000  
  
 3D09220

this program is to not only mitigate windstorm damage but to provide discounts, credits, reductions in deductibles, or other rate differentials for owners insured through the Citizen's Property Insurance Corporation and increased availability of insurance products to owners of manufactured or mobile homes. Annually, a report is to be submitted to the Governor, President of the Senate, and Speaker of the House of Representatives by Tallahassee Community College as to the number of homes that have taken advantage of the program, the types of enhancements and improvements made and whether there has been an increase in the insurance products available. Historically, the reports have not reflected any information regarding increased availability of insurance products. In addition, Citizen's Property Insurance currently does not offer any wind mitigation discounts for mobile homes. THIS PRIORITY NUMBER IS RELATED SPECIFICALLY TO THE DIVISION OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT.

\*\*\*\*\*

```

*****
* NEADLP01                                STATISTICAL INFORMATION                                10/15/2013 11:08 *
* BUDGET PERIOD: 2004-2015                EXHIBIT A, D AND D-3A LIST REQUEST                KPS 31 SP *
*                                                                                                     PAGE: 1 *
*****
*                                     SAVE INITIALS:          SAVE DEPARTMENT: 07      SAVE ID: S8C      SAVE TITLE: SCHEDULE VIIIC **LBR FORMAT** *
* -----
* ** DATA SELECTIONS **
* =====
* REPORT OPTION 1 - Exhibit A, D and D-3A
* =====
* COLUMN: A23      A24      A25      _____      _____      CODES
* CALCULATE DIFFERENCE ONLY (Y/N): N THAT EXCEED: _____
* INCLUDE (Y/N) FTE: Y      SALARY RATE: Y POSITION DATA: Y
* REPORT TOTAL:
* REPORT: NO TOTAL
* =====
* BUDGET ENTITY OR GROUP/ACCUMULATION LEVEL (0=MERGE, 1=LEVEL 1, 2=LEVEL 2, 3=LEVEL 3, 4=LOWEST LEVEL)
* 1-7: 4      _____      _____      _____      _____      _____      _____
* 8-14: _____      _____      _____      _____      _____      _____      _____
* 15-21: _____      _____      _____      _____      _____      _____      _____
* 22-27: _____      _____      _____      _____      _____      _____      _____
* EXCLUDE: _____      _____      _____      _____      _____      _____      _____
*
* BUDGET ENTITY TOTALS:
*
* LEVEL 1: BY FUND TYPE
* LEVEL 2: NO TOTAL
* LEVEL 3: NO TOTAL
* LOWEST LEVEL: BY FUND TYPE
* =====
* PROGRAM COMPONENT/ACCUMULATION LEVEL (1, 2, 3, 4 OR 5 FOR 2, 4, 6, 8 OR 10 DIGITS, 0=MERGED):
* PROGRAM COMPONENT: 5
* PROGRAM COMPONENT TOTAL:
* POLICY AREA: NO TOTAL
* PROGRAM COMPONENT: BY FUND TYPE
* =====
* ISSUE CODE OR GROUP/ACCUMULATION LEVEL (1, 2 OR 3 FOR 1, 3 OR 7 CHARACTERS, 0=MERGED):
* ISSUE CODE OR GROUP: 3
* ISSUE TOTAL:
* SUMMARY: NO TOTAL
* DETAIL: LINE TOTAL
* =====
* APPROPRIATION CATEGORY OR GROUP/ACCUMULATION LEVEL (1=MAJOR, 2=MINOR, 0=MERGED):
* 2
* INCLUDE FCO (Y/N): Y APPROPRIATION CATEGORY TITLE (S=SHORT, L=LONG): S
* APPROPRIATION CATEGORY TOTAL:
* MAJOR: NO TOTAL
* MINOR: BY DETAIL FUND
* =====
* ITEMIZATION OF EXPENDITURE: _____
* ACCUMULATION LEVEL (1=OPE/FCO, 2=IOE, 0=MERGE): 0
* ITEMIZATION OF EXPENDITURE TOTAL:
* ITEMIZATION OF EXPENDITURE: NO TOTAL
* =====

```

```

*****
* NEADLP01                                STATISTICAL INFORMATION                10/15/2013 11:08 *
* BUDGET PERIOD: 2004-2015                EXHIBIT A, D AND D-3A LIST REQUEST          KPS 31   SP   *
*                                                                                                     PAGE:    2 *
*****
* FUND GROUPS SET: _____ OR FUND: _____ *
* FUNDING SOURCE IDENTIFIER: _ _ _ _ _ *
* REPORT BY FSI (Y/N): Y *
* ===== *
* DEPARTMENT NARRATIVE SET: _____ *
* BUDGET ENTITY NARRATIVE SET: _____ *
* ISSUE/ACTIVITY NARRATIVE SET: A6 _ _ _ _ _ *
* *
* INCLUDE PROGRAM COMPONENT NARRATIVE (Y/N): N *
* ===== *
* ** FORMATTING ** *
* ===== *
* REPORT HEADING: SCHEDULE VIIIC *
* PRIORITY LISTING FOR POSSIBLE *
* REPRIORITIZATION FOR REQUEST YEAR *
* ===== *
* PAGE BREAKS: LOWEST LEVEL PRC *
* (LEVEL 1, LEVEL 2, LEVEL 3, LOWEST LEVEL, *
* IOE, GRP, PRC, SIS, ISC) *
* ===== *
* COLUMN CODES (Y/N): Y FORMAT (L=LANDSCAPE, P=PORTRAIT): L *
* SORT OPTIONS: DEPARTMENT/BUDGET ENTITY (C=CODE, T=TITLE): C *
* PROGRAM COMPONENT (C=CODE, T=TITLE): C *
* ===== *
* TOTAL RECORDS READ FROM SORT: 10 *
* TOTAL RECORDS READ FROM CARD: 44 *
* TOTAL RECORDS READ FROM PAF: 0 *
* TOTAL RECORDS READ FROM OAF: 0 *
* TOTAL RECORDS READ FROM IEF: 0 *
* TOTAL RECORDS READ FROM BGF: 0 *
* TOTAL RECORDS READ FROM BEF: 7 *
* TOTAL RECORDS READ FROM PCF: 4 *
* TOTAL RECORDS READ FROM ICF: 12 *
* TOTAL RECORDS READ FROM INF: 182 *
* TOTAL RECORDS READ FROM ACF: 5 *
* TOTAL RECORDS READ FROM FCF: 3 *
* TOTAL RECORDS READ FROM FSF: 10 *
* TOTAL RECORDS READ FROM PCN: 0 *
* TOTAL RECORDS READ FROM BEN: 0 *
* TOTAL RECORDS READ FROM DPC: 0 *
* TOTAL RECORDS IN ERROR: 0 *
*****

```

\*\*\*\*\*  
\* NEADLP01 STATISTICAL INFORMATION 10/15/2013 11:08 \*  
\* BUDGET PERIOD: 2004-2015 EXHIBIT A, D AND D-3A LIST REQUEST KPS 31 SP \*  
\* PAGE: 3 \*  
\*\*\*\*\*  
\*  
\* BUDGET ENTITIES SELECTED: \*  
\* 1-9: 31 49 \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \*  
\* 10-18: \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \*  
\* 19-27: \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \*  
\*  
\*\*\*\*\*