

	COL A03	COL A06	COL A07	COL A08	COL A09	
	AGY REQUEST	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	
	FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	CODES
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	
STATE COURT SYSTEM						22000000
PGM: SUPREME COURT						22010000
COURT OPER/SUPREME COURT						22010100
STATE COURTS						15
STATE COURT SYSTEM						1501.00.00.00
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN						9900000
MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR						990M000
FIXED CAPITAL OUTLAY						080000
FAC REPAIRS, RENOV/IMPROV						080037
GENERAL REVENUE FUND						1000 1
	-STATE	4,674,150				

AGENCY NARRATIVE:

2012-2013 BUDGET YEAR NARRATIVE: FAC REPAIRS, RENOV/IMPROV IT COMPONENT? NO

Supreme Court Major Maintenance Repairs Request - This request is for \$4,674,150 in non-recurring funding to complete major repairs needed at the Florida Supreme Court building. The Florida Supreme Court building houses the third branch of Florida's government; it has been in continued usage since 1948 and is a historical landmark of our state. Since 2004, the court has received generous fixed capital outlay appropriations that have greatly reduced the deferred maintenance backlog and greatly increased security of the facility, but the court needs to complete the backlog and protect the investment already made to the building.

The original 1948 roof has reached the end of its useful and cost effective life. In 1988 it was repaired during the last expansion to the building. In 2004 the court did more repairs attempting to extend its life, but 63 years of Florida's harsh climate and numerous storms have taken a tremendous toll on the roof. The court's staff is constantly repairing holes and placing containers to keep the rain from damaging the building finishes and causing indoor air quality problems. The original roof is 38,000 square feet and the 1988 expansion roof is 12,000 square feet. The original roof is composed of 31,500 square feet of cooper panels, 2,000 square feet flat tile, 2,000 square feet buildup, 2,500 square feet membrane and one dome.

- Replacement of all original roof components: \$ 1,850,000
- Replacement of 12 original 5" galvanize roof drain litters: 95,000
- Replacement of lightning protection: 32,000
- Repairs to 1988 expansion roof, replacement roof drain connections, gutters, parapet membrane and cap: 55,000

The original 1948 building exterior finishes have been deteriorating due to high humidity and age causing the building waterproofing coating to come off and collect water. The 1948 original building finishes need to be removed to the original concrete, resealed and the waterproofing system reapplied. In FY 2005-06 the Court received approx \$500,000 to waterproof the building. The project was under DMS management. The appointed architect and contract manager had previously waterproofed the building in years past and recommended modifying this project to only replace expansion joints seals and wash and paint the building. Problems began to develop with the original substrates of the original waterproofing. This caused much of the new work to peel-off and created additional repairs for the project and its funding. Consultants were hired and the architect developed new repair recommendations to deal with the problem areas. Because the project s scope was already designed and the contract manager had awarded the project, there was no way to secure a change order to continue waterproofing replacement due to a lack of additional funds. Because waterproofing was not replaced for the entire facility at that time, due to new repairs during the project, over time, the building

	COL A03	COL A06	COL A07	COL A08	COL A09	
	AGY REQUEST	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	
	FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	CODES
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	
STATE COURT SYSTEM						22000000
PGM: SUPREME COURT						22010000
COURT OPER/SUPREME COURT						22010100
STATE COURTS						15
STATE COURT SYSTEM						<u>1501.00.00.00</u>
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN						9900000
MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR						990M000

waterproofing has continued to deteriorate causing it to fail and allow water to enter the building.

- Waterproof exterior walls of building: \$ 800,000
- Replace 1948 clay sewer line from building to street manhole: 75,000
- Re-glaze four courtyard stairs glass panels: 67,000
- Replace two lounge exterior doors: 6,000
- Building ADA upgrades: 75,000

Total construction cost: \$ 3,055,000

- DMS Fees: 3% 91,650
- Architects Fees: 10% 305,500
- Construction Manager Fees: 25% 763,750
- Permits: 5% 152,750
- Contingency: 10% 305,500

Total: \$ 4,674,150

TOTAL BUDGET REQUEST: \$4,674,150 (non-recurring)

SITE HARDENING 080052

GENERAL REVENUE FUND -STATE 1,338,750 1000 1

AGENCY NARRATIVE:

2012-2013 BUDGET YEAR NARRATIVE: SITE HARDENING IT COMPONENT? NO

Supreme Court, Continuation of Site Hardening Capital Improvement Project - This request of \$1,338,750 in non-recurring funds is to finish the perimeter security hardening of the Florida Supreme Court building. In March 2008, the Supreme Court voluntarily reverted \$1,400,000 in nonrecurring general revenue funds for site hardening from the FY 2006-2007 appropriation. These funds were reverted in Section 10, House Bill 7009 to assist in mitigating the critical general revenue deficit being experienced by the State during Fiscal Year 2007-2008.

When the Florida Supreme Court Building was originally constructed in 1948, concepts such as domestic terrorism and weapons of mass destruction were largely unknown. The Supreme Court facility may be exposed to a major attack. This

	COL A03	COL A06	COL A07	COL A08	COL A09	
	AGY REQUEST	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	
	FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	CODES
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	
STATE COURT SYSTEM						22000000
PGM: SUPREME COURT						22010000
COURT OPER/SUPREME COURT						22010100
STATE COURTS						15
STATE COURT SYSTEM						<u>1501.00.00.00</u>
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN						9900000
MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR						990M000

additional funding will allow completion of the security assessment recommendations and move this facility closer to the standard of security similar to the Capital Complex.

The Court has already designed and installed the infrastructure to support the bollards operation and integration into the court's security system under the initial site hardening project.

Construction costs:

Installation of bollards in targeted locations: \$ 800,000
 Hardening of rear parking area: 75,000

Total construction cost: \$ 875,000

DMS Fees: 3% \$ 26,250
 Architects Fees: 10% 87,500
 Construction Manager Fees: 25% 218,750
 Permits: 5% 43,750
 Contingency: 10% 87,500

Total: \$ 1,338,750

TOTAL BUDGET REQUEST: \$1,338,750 (non-recurring)

TOTAL: MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR						990M000
TOTAL ISSUE.....	6,012,900					
TOTAL: STATE COURT SYSTEM						<u>1501.00.00.00</u>
BY FUND TYPE						
GENERAL REVENUE FUND.....	6,012,900					1000

COL A03		COL A06		COL A07		COL A08		COL A09		CODES
AGY REQUEST	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN		
FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17						
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	
STATE COURT SYSTEM										22000000
PGM: DIST COURTS OF APPEAL										22100000
COURT OPER/APPELLATE COURT										22100600
STATE COURTS										15
STATE COURT SYSTEM										1501.00.00.00
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN										9900000
MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR										990M000
FIXED CAPITAL OUTLAY										080000
EMERGENCY GENERATOR SYSTEM										080032
GENERAL REVENUE FUND		-STATE	218,790							1000 1

AGENCY NARRATIVE:

2012-2013 BUDGET YEAR NARRATIVE: EMERGENCY GENERATOR SYSTEM IT COMPONENT? NO
 No funds are requested in FY 2012/13. This request is for FY 2013/14 only.

The Third District Court of Appeal requests \$218,790, for FY 2013-2014, to purchase an emergency, natural gas-fueled electrical generator system for the courthouse complex. This fixed capital budget request includes the addition of a new 300 kilowatt (KW) standby emergency generator which will not only supply electricity during a power outage, but will also replace the Court's failed and uninterruptible power supplies that serve the life safety systems. Those systems include designated luminaries for means of egress illumination, stairway illumination, and exit signage. As a temporary measure, the Court currently has a limited number of lighting fixtures containing battery backup ballasts which provide emergency egress lighting for approximately 15 minutes. The requested emergency generating system will support all life safety items such as security/fire alarm systems, telephone system, voice/data network, servers and other essential equipment. The generator would also provide emergency power during the frequent power interruptions resulting from the lightning storms in South Florida. In addition, it would be utilized to provide power following outages from tropical storms and hurricanes, allowing the Court to continue its operations.

Recently, the local natural gas utility extended their service to an area adjacent to the Court, which has provided an opportunity to acquire a natural gas-fueled generating system at a lower cost as opposed to a diesel-fueled generator with an in-ground fuel tank. Previous funding request for this FCO project had been estimated at \$505,050 as opposed to the current request of \$218,790.

Failure to fund this request would not only cause the Court to interrupt its operations during a power outage but, due to inadequate life safety system support, also jeopardize the welfare of employees, visitors and vendors during an emergency evacuation of the courthouse.

Construction	\$	196,911
Architectural/Engineering Fees		21,879
TOTAL:	\$	218,790

TOTAL BUDGET REQUEST: \$218,790 (non-recurring)

COL A03		COL A06		COL A07		COL A08		COL A09		CODES
AGY REQUEST	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN		
FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17						
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	
STATE COURT SYSTEM										22000000
PGM: DIST COURTS OF APPEAL										22100000
COURT OPER/APPELLATE COURT										22100600
STATE COURTS										15
STATE COURT SYSTEM										1501.00.00.00
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN										9900000
MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR										990M000
FIXED CAPITAL OUTLAY										080000
2ND DCA-A/C RENOVATION										080036
GENERAL REVENUE FUND	-STATE		327,462							1000 1

AGENCY NARRATIVE:

2012-2013 BUDGET YEAR NARRATIVE: 2ND DCA-A/C RENOVATION IT COMPONENT? NO
 No funds are requested in FY 2012/13. This request is for FY 2013/14 only.

The Second District Court of Appeal requests \$327,462 to replace two air handlers. The Lakeland headquarters was originally built in 1961; this is now the west end of the building. The east end of the building (courtroom, conference room, lobby, and clerk's office) was added in 1986; both air handlers were placed into service at that time. In 2004, the court replaced the building's chiller, and the air conveyance system (i.e., supply, return and exhaust) on the west end of the building was also replaced.

The building's air handlers were recently cleaned and are currently operational but their age indicates that they have exceeded their useful life expectancy. In addition, the air conveyance system on the east side of the building should be replaced.

Asbestos and Lead Survey	\$	8,000
Architect/Mechanical Plans and Oversight		45,000
Replace two air handlers and conveyance system		227,900
Construction contingency		35,000
DMS Management Fee (5%)		11,562

TOTAL BUDGET REQUEST: \$ 327,462 (non-recurring)

BLDG MAINT & REPAIRS - DCA 080103

GENERAL REVENUE FUND	-STATE		30,450							1000 1
----------------------	--------	--	--------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--------

AGENCY NARRATIVE:

2012-2013 BUDGET YEAR NARRATIVE: BLDG MAINT & REPAIRS - DCA IT COMPONENT? NO

The Second District Court of Appeal requests \$30,450 to reconstruct its driveway so that the connection provides a sufficient width and turn radius for vehicles to safely enter the court's parking area.

	COL A03	COL A06	COL A07	COL A08	COL A09	
	AGY REQUEST	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	
	FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	CODES
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	
STATE COURT SYSTEM						22000000
PGM: DIST COURTS OF APPEAL						22100000
COURT OPER/APPELLATE COURT						22100600
STATE COURTS						15
STATE COURT SYSTEM						1501.00.00.00
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN						9900000
MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR						990M000

The public entrance to the Lakeland courthouse is located on Memorial Blvd., Highway 92, a 4-lane divided highway with heavy car and truck traffic. The posted speed limit is 35 mph, yet it is often exceeded. The court's driveway is only 24 feet wide for 2-way traffic, i.e., "in" movement and "out" movement. Due to the limited turn radius and width of the driveway, vehicles turning in must come to a near stop on the highway before completing the turn. Due to the speed of traffic, drivers report that they often fear being rear-ended before they can complete the turn. The driveway width and outside radius need to be increased in order to relieve interference between the entering and exiting traffic, which adversely affects traffic flow and creates a safety hazard for vehicles that are attempting to enter the court's parking area.

TOTAL BUDGET REQUEST: \$30,450 (non-recurring)

HURRICANE STORM SHUTTERS 080174
 GENERAL REVENUE FUND -STATE 120,000 1000 1

AGENCY NARRATIVE:

2012-2013 BUDGET YEAR NARRATIVE: HURRICANE STORM SHUTTERS IT COMPONENT? NO
 The Third District Court of Appeal requests \$120,000 during FY 2012-2013, to acquire and install hurricane storm shutters for the Annex Building of the courthouse complex. The Annex is a two-story structure that houses judicial suites and a conference room, all located on the second floor. The first floor space is an open covered parking area. The Annex, which was constructed in 1992, does not have impact resistant windows or protecting coverings.

The window envelopes have been weakened significantly due to age and wind driven rain that occurred during the three major hurricanes that impacted South Florida in the last five years. One judicial suite suffered water leakage through the windows, which required air quality testing and mold remediation actions to correct the problem.

All windows on the second floor Annex are fixed and do not have the ability to be opened. The building height and number of fixed windows that do not open would necessitate the installation of roll-down electric motorized aluminum shutters. Prior to the arrival of a storm, the electric motorized shutters will be closed to completely cover and protect the windows. The shutters will meet the requirements of the Miami-Dade County building code specifications.

Failure to fund this budget request will jeopardize the integrity of the Annex building during a hurricane. A category two, three or four storm impacting South Florida will breach the second floor windows and potentially destroy its interior, furnishings, and technology equipment.

COL A03		COL A06		COL A07		COL A08		COL A09		CODES
AGY REQUEST	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN		
FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	FY 2016-17		
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	
STATE COURT SYSTEM										22000000
PGM: DIST COURTS OF APPEAL										22100000
COURT OPER/APPELLATE COURT										22100600
STATE COURTS										15
STATE COURT SYSTEM										1501.00.00.00
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN										9900000
MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR										990M000

TOTAL BUDGET REQUEST: \$120,000 (non-recurring)

3RD DCA BLDG REM-DMS MGD										080179
GENERAL REVENUE FUND	-STATE		1,870,600		2,289,640		978,927			1000 1

AGENCY NARRATIVE:

2012-2013 BUDGET YEAR NARRATIVE: 3RD DCA BLDG REM-DMS MGD IT COMPONENT? NO
 No funds are requested in FY 2012/13. This request is for FY 2013/14 through FY 2015/16.

The Third District Court of Appeal requests \$5,139,167 to remodel the courthouse entrance, first and second floors, and to upgrade core building systems. Remodeling the entrance will provide a more secure workplace for judges, court personnel, and visitors. The Clerk's Office, Marshal's Office and Security area have not been remodeled since the building's construction in 1976. The Annex building's 22-year-old mechanical and electrical core systems have not been replaced since they were originally installed in 1989.

In order to complete the courthouse remodeling in a logical and cost effective manner, this project has been separated into three phases: Phase I totaling \$1,870,600, Phase II totaling \$2,289,640, and Phase III totaling \$978,927. It is requested that Phase I, II and III be funded in FY 2013-2014, FY 2014-2015 and FY 2015-2016, respectively.

During FY 2006-2007 the Court, working with the Department of Management Services, hired the architectural firm of Spillis Candela, now known as AECOM, to conduct a study addressing security problems relating to the building's 1976 open air design. The study included all public areas, the Clerk's and Marshal's Offices, court library, courtroom, staff lounge, and lawyer's lounge. Spillis recommended re-designing the entrance to the building and remodeling the first and second floors due to the building's failing mechanical and electrical core components. The engineering portion of the study determined that the original 34-year-old air handler units were inadequate to handle the increased load resulting from a building reconfiguration and were not energy-efficient by current standards. Since the study was completed, the Court was able to obtain emergency funding to acquire and install a new 140 ton chiller, two new air handlers and digital control systems for the main courthouse building that will support the additional square footage recommended as part of the remodeling project.

The mechanical components in the Annex courthouse building must be updated with a modern, efficient digital control system and matching Variable Air Volume (VAV) boxes. The windows in the Annex building must be replaced with impact resistant components to protect the building envelope. In addition, the first floor bathrooms, elevators and fire alarm systems must be replaced to comply with the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). Funding requests have been included for those upgrades.

	COL A03	COL A06	COL A07	COL A08	COL A09	
	AGY REQUEST	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	
	FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	CODES
STATE COURT SYSTEM						22000000
PGM: DIST COURTS OF APPEAL						22100000
COURT OPER/APPELLATE COURT						22100600
STATE COURTS						15
STATE COURT SYSTEM						<u>1501.00.00.00</u>
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN						9900000
MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR						990M000

Phase I (FY 2013-2014)

The Court is requesting \$1,870,600 for Phase I, FY 2013-2014, to upgrade the failing Annex courthouse core mechanical and electrical control systems. This improvement will greatly increase utility efficiency, provide necessary air conditioning to remodeled areas, enhance life/safety assets and reduce future maintenance and repair expense for aging core systems. Also, in order to initiate the planning of the security reconfiguration and interior remodeling in a timely manner (Phase II), the Court is also requesting that the Phase II design fees be included in Phase I.

The following systems will be replaced during Phase I:

Annex Energy Management System

In order to improve the Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC) system control and monitoring capabilities, the engineering assessment recommended replacement of the 1989 pneumatic control system with a direct digital control energy management system which would result in a more energy-efficient building operation.

The current pneumatic Variable Air Volume (VAV) boxes were installed in 1989. The FY 2006-2007 engineering study recommended that they be replaced with pressure independent boxes which will improve the quality, reliability and control of the air distribution system. Installation of the VAV boxes and digital control system will include the retrofitting or replacement of all controlling systems contained within the space above the ceiling systems. Also, the replacement of the existing VAV boxes would enable office users to independently control air temperatures thereby increasing the overall efficiency of the HVAC system. The addition of a voice and data cabling tray system will enhance cable conduit space by reducing the number of existing cables which have been added to the building over the past 34 years.

Life Support Systems

The FY 2006-2007 engineering study recommended replacing the court's outdated fire alarm system to include the alarm panel and all remote sensor devices. This upgrade would meet the ADA requirements. The Court requests funds to upgrade two elevators with digital controls for life safety purposes, and new door operator controls that comply with current Miami-Dade County Code and ADA requirements. In order to comply with F.S. 633.085(1)(c), and bring the elevators and related fire safety equipment to State Fire Code requirements, it is imperative that the Court receive funding to make the necessary repairs and upgrades.

Impact Windows for Building Annex

During the execution of a prior funded project, AECOM, the architectural firm which prepared the drawings for impact windows for the Main and Annex buildings, discovered that the existing window frames in all of the Annex's judicial suites do not have tie beams or supporting window structures that meet Miami-Dade building code for impact windows. The Annex building was added in 1989-90, whereas the main building was completed in 1976. As a result of the missing tie

	COL A03	COL A06	COL A07	COL A08	COL A09	
	AGY REQUEST	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	
	FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	CODES
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	
STATE COURT SYSTEM						22000000
PGM: DIST COURTS OF APPEAL						22100000
COURT OPER/APPELLATE COURT						22100600
STATE COURTS						15
STATE COURT SYSTEM						<u>1501.00.00.00</u>
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN						9900000
MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR						990M000

beams, the structural opening will not support the installation of impact resistant windows without major reconstruction of the window frame and supporting walls. Due to the discovery of this structural defect, the Court did not have sufficient funds for the Annex building windows, and is therefore including a budget request in order to install the rest of the impact windows in the Annex.

Construction	\$	1,212,116
DMS Management Fee (5%)		30,303
Architectural and Eng Fees, Phase I		121,212
Architectural and Eng Fees, Phase II		178,356
Contingency Fees		181,817
Art Allowance		60,606
Building Escalation		86,190
PHASE I TOTAL:	\$	1,870,600

Phase II (FY 2014-2015)

Once the mechanical and electrical components are in place, the Court will start Phase II. The Court is requesting \$2,289,640 for Phase II during FY 2014-2015 of the project to reconfigure the entrance to the main courthouse building for centralized security, remodeling of four first floor bathrooms to meet ADA requirements; replacement of exterior glass for security purposes to prevent intrusion into the building; remodeling of the downstairs area consisting of the Clerk's and Marshal's Offices, and installation of security bollards. All design fees for Phase II reconfiguration will have been expended with Phase I funding. The following areas will be addressed during Phase II:

Entrance Security Reconfiguration

The FY 2006-2007 study recommended the architectural reconfiguration of a portion of the existing exterior atrium into an enclosed lobby space, including a security monitoring station. The reconfiguration included all public areas, the Clerk's and Marshal's Offices, courtroom, and staff lounge. The recommendation also included the remodeling of two exterior bathrooms to satisfy ADA requirements, and replacing and installing additional exterior glass and bollards for security purposes to prevent intrusion into the building.

Clerk's Office Remodeling

The Clerk's Office has not been remodeled since 1976 and has outgrown its workspace. While the Clerk's Office would gain additional space needed by remodeling the Clerk's Office, it will not meet current space needs. In addition, only two or three visitors can utilize the public area of the Clerk's Office at one time due to the cramped conditions in that room. The remodeling would modify the Clerk's public entry area, into a secure, usable waiting area allowing additional room for the installation of desktop computers which the public may use in the future. This reconfiguration will also upgrade the public area to meet ADA requirements.

COL A03		COL A06		COL A07		COL A08		COL A09		CODES
AGY REQUEST	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN		
FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17						
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	
STATE COURT SYSTEM										22000000
PGM: DIST COURTS OF APPEAL										22100000
COURT OPER/APPELLATE COURT										22100600
STATE COURTS										15
STATE COURT SYSTEM										<u>1501.00.00.00</u>
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN										9900000
MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR										990M000

Marshal's Office

The Marshal's Office, designed and completed in 1976, has outgrown its current space. Rather than add onto the building, which would be more costly, the Court will remodel the court library. The Court would then be able to use part of the library for the Clerk's and Marshal's Offices. The cost would include corridor ceiling and lighting upgrades for the first floor, first floor painting, and the addition of signage to meet ADA requirements.

Employee's Bathrooms

The first floor bathrooms utilized by employees, escorted visitors and vendors have not been remodeled since their original design in 1976. The bathrooms' entrances, design and configuration, size of stalls, and height of sinks, do not comply with ADA standards.

Lawyer's Lounge

The fire-rated doors leading into the recently renovated Lawyer's Lounge must be replaced with fire-rated ADA compliant doors.

Construction	\$	1,783,556
DMS Management Fee (5%)		44,589
Architectural and Eng Fees, Phase II	Included in Phase I	
Contingency Fees		267,533
Art Allowance		89,178
Building Escalation		104,784

PHASE II TOTAL: \$ 2,289,640

Phase III (FY 2015-2016)

The Court is requesting \$978,927 for Phase III, FY 2015-2016, to architecturally remodel the remaining portion of the Library space into different usage and repave the existing visitor/employee's parking lots.

The following areas will be addressed during Phase III:

Court Library Remodeling

Over the last few years, the court has dramatically reduced the Library subscriptions freeing up floor space for other needs. A portion of Library space will be utilized during the Phase II remodeling of the Marshal's and Clerk's Offices. The remaining space, which has yet to be determined, will be remodeled to provide work space for the Court. The

	COL A03	COL A06	COL A07	COL A08	COL A09	
	AGY REQUEST	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	
	FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	CODES
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	
STATE COURT SYSTEM						22000000
PGM: DIST COURTS OF APPEAL						22100000
COURT OPER/APPELLATE COURT						22100600
STATE COURTS						15
STATE COURT SYSTEM						1501.00.00.00
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN						9900000
MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR						990M000

remodeling will include mechanical, electrical, and architectural improvements, which will enhance the Court's operations.

Paving of the Visitor and Employee Parking Lots

Except for minor repairs and asphalt sealing, the 85,500 square foot visitor and employee parking lots have not been repaved since 1976. It is anticipated that during the course of Phases I and II, the heavy equipment construction traffic will severely deteriorate the already-worn surface area. Due to the age of the asphalt, the only recourse is to totally repave the area after both Phases I and II are completed.

Construction	\$	704,898
DMS Management Fee (5%)		17,622
Architectural and Eng Fees, Phase III		70,490
Contingency Fees		105,735
Art Allowance		35,245
Building Escalation		44,937

PHASE II TOTAL: \$ 978,927

TOTAL BUDGET REQUEST: \$ 5,139,167 (non-recurring)

5TH DCA BLDG RENO-DMS MGD 080181

GENERAL REVENUE FUND -STATE 247,030 1000 1

AGENCY NARRATIVE:

2012-2013 BUDGET YEAR NARRATIVE: 5TH DCA BLDG RENO-DMS MGD IT COMPONENT? NO

No funds are requested for FY 2012/13. This request is for FY 2013/14 only.

The Fifth District Court of Appeal requests \$247,030 in fixed capital outlay funds to upgrade its historic building's 30 year old front reception/lobby area. Upgrades are to include improved security screening area to accommodate x-ray, magnetometer equipment and security personnel, and enhanced accessibility for disabled persons from the main entrance to the courtroom. The project is to adhere to latest building code as it relates to hurricane rating/glazing materials (includes storefront and skylights).

If the issue is not funded, the court facility will not maintain a sufficient security screening area nor reasonable ADA

	COL A03	COL A06	COL A07	COL A08	COL A09	
	AGY REQUEST	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	
	FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	CODES
STATE COURT SYSTEM						22000000
PGM: DIST COURTS OF APPEAL						22100000
COURT OPER/APPELLATE COURT						22100600
STATE COURTS						15
STATE COURT SYSTEM						1501.00.00.00
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN						9900000
MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR						990M000

compliant accommodations for court visitors and court personnel.

Phase I		
Schematic Design	1,241 sq. ft.	\$ 2,850
Phase II		
Architecture and Design		8,906
Phase III		
Construction (\$150/sq. ft.)		186,750
Other Projected Costs		
Inflation 10%		18,675
Contingency 5%		9,337
Construction Mgr. at Risk		11,175
DMS Management Fee (5%)		9,337

TOTAL BUDGET REQUEST: \$ 247,030 (non-recurring)

COURTHOUSE ENTRYWAY RENO						082004
GENERAL REVENUE FUND	-STATE	37,000				1000 1

AGENCY NARRATIVE:

2012-2013 BUDGET YEAR NARRATIVE: COURTHOUSE ENTRYWAY RENO IT COMPONENT? NO

The Third District Court of Appeal requests \$37,000 (non-recurring) to correct life safety, American with Disabilities (ADA) compliance and security issues. The entrance for judges and staff employees consists of an 18 ft wide by 7 ft high storefront type, double doors with glass sidelights and transom. This entrance provides rear building access for the judges and staff employees to the lobby, robing room, courtroom, and elevator. This entrance lacks the required automatic door openers.

Due to the Miami-Dade County building code and ADA requirements, the entire glass entry area must be replaced with an impact-resistant glass transom, sidelights, and double doors that possess panic exit devices and automatic handicapped door openers. Both doors currently have vertical bar locking mechanisms, which are thirty-five years-old and not functioning properly. Several attempts to repair those doors have been unsuccessful due to the fact that the frames are bent, causing perpetual misalignment of the locking mechanisms and alarm systems contacts.

	COL A03	COL A06	COL A07	COL A08	COL A09	
	AGY REQUEST	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	
	FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	CODES
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	
STATE COURT SYSTEM						22000000
PGM: DIST COURTS OF APPEAL						22100000
COURT OPER/APPELLATE COURT						22100600
STATE COURTS						15
STATE COURT SYSTEM						1501.00.00.00
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN						9900000
MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR						990M000

This issue has created sporadic security problems for the judges and employees in the courthouse complex. During adverse weather conditions the alarm contacts disengage, initiating a false alarm issue that involves the dispatch of police department and court security personnel.

Failure to fund this budget request will not allow the court to provide a safe and secure working environment that will protect the wellbeing of all judges and employees, and comply with ADA accessibility mandates and Miami-Dade County building codes.

TOTAL BUDGET REQUEST: \$37,000 (non-recurring)

TOTAL: MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR						990M000
TOTAL ISSUE.....	187,450	2,663,882	2,289,640	978,927		
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	

SPECIAL PURPOSE						990S000
FIXED CAPITAL OUTLAY						080000
FACILITY STUDY-DMS MGD						080171
GENERAL REVENUE FUND						
-STATE	100,000					1000 1
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	

AGENCY NARRATIVE:

2012-2013 BUDGET YEAR NARRATIVE: FACILITY STUDY-DMS MGD IT COMPONENT? NO

The space available in the Second District Court of Appeal's Lakeland courthouse is grossly inadequate for the court's operations. The court has leased office space in Tampa for more than 30 years, pursuant to the Legislature's authorization of a branch office. See section 35.05, Florida Statutes. Eight of the court's 14 judges are currently located in leased space in Tampa, at an annual cost of \$525,000 for rent, \$18,000 for courier costs, plus the associated administrative and operating costs for information technology and security.

The Tampa lease, which is with Stetson University College of Law, Tampa Law Center, is a ten year lease; the lease term expires June 30, 2013. At least 360 days before June 30, 2013, the court may elect to extend the lease for an additional period of up to 10 years.

The deficiencies of the Lakeland headquarters include immediate and long-term operating, capital and facility renewal liabilities related to: deferred maintenance, renewal, and energy efficiency improvements; aging building components well past their lifecycle renewal; deficiencies in Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) compliance; indoor air quality concerns related to the poor operational condition of the air distribution system; and a highly inefficient and

	COL A03	COL A06	COL A07	COL A08	COL A09	
	AGY REQUEST	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	AG FCO PLAN	
	FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	CODES
STATE COURT SYSTEM						22000000
PGM: DIST COURTS OF APPEAL						22100000
COURT OPER/APPELLATE COURT						22100600
STATE COURTS						15
STATE COURT SYSTEM						<u>1501.00.00.00</u>
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN						9900000
SPECIAL PURPOSE						990S000

ineffective use of interior space for court operations and security functions.

For the reasons explained above, most importantly the inadequacies of the Lakeland courthouse and the forthcoming lease negotiations with Stetson's Tampa Law Center, the Second District Court of Appeal requires funding to evaluate the space requirements of the court and make recommendations to the decision makers who will consider how best to maximize the taxpayers' dollars in meeting those needs.

The Second District Court of Appeal requests \$100,000 in Fixed Capital Outlay to conduct a DMS managed architectural/engineering study to assist decision-makers in planning for the current and future needs of the district. The purpose of the study would be to evaluate: the long-term needs of the court; the capacity for improving the Lakeland courthouse; and whether the lease or acquisition/construction of a consolidated facility would be in the best interest of the state, the court, and its users.

TOTAL BUDGET REQUEST: \$100,000 (non-recurring)

TOTAL: STATE COURT SYSTEM						<u>1501.00.00.00</u>
BY FUND TYPE						
GENERAL REVENUE FUND.....	287,450	2,663,882	2,289,640	978,927		1000
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	