

	COL A90	COL A91	COL A92	
	SCH VIIIIB-1	SCH VIIIIB-1	SCH VIIIIB-1	
	REDUCTIONS	NR FY10-11	ANZ FY10-11	
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS
				AMOUNT
				CODES
STATE COURT SYSTEM				22000000
PGM: SUPREME COURT				22010000
COURT OPER/SUPREME COURT				22010100
STATE COURTS				15
STATE COURT SYSTEM				1501.00.00.00
SCHEDULE VIIIIB REDUCTIONS -				
OPERATING				33B0000
JUDICIAL BRANCH REDUCTION				33B2200
SALARY RATE				000000
SALARY RATE.....	685,882-			
=====				
SALARIES AND BENEFITS				010000
	14.25-			
STATE COURTS REVENUE TF -STATE	472,571-			2057 1
=====				
TOTAL: JUDICIAL BRANCH REDUCTION				33B2200
TOTAL POSITIONS.....	14.25-			
TOTAL ISSUE.....	472,571-			
TOTAL SALARY RATE.....	685,882-			
=====				

AGENCY ISSUE NARRATIVE:

SCH VIIIIB-1 NARR 10-11 NARRATIVE: IT COMPONENT? NO

The completion of this Schedule by the State Courts System is strictly to comply with Fiscal Year 2011-2012 Legislative Budget Request Instructions and should in no way be construed as an offer of reductions on the part of the courts. Clearly, such reductions as provided herein are not a viable alternative for the continued operations of the judicial branch and the State Courts System's trust funds' revenues are adequate to support and sustain our current base budgets within those trust funds through Fiscal Year 2011-2012. Therefore, reduction information is provided only in order to demonstrate the magnitude of the cut and to further explain how the judicial branch of government in Florida simply could not function with the resulting draconian cuts in court staff.

Due to previous cuts, the only place left for the State Courts System to meet the reduction targets is in the salary and benefits category which means eliminating FTE. The information provided herein reflects that impact. Nearly 45% (almost half) of the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund salary budget is dedicated to judicial salaries and benefits. In addition, General Revenue funding for the State Courts System is currently provided solely for a portion of judicial salaries in Circuit and County Courts. To assure Florida citizens of their constitutional right of access to the courts without sale, denial or delay, no reduction option is available to the courts in terms of reducing judicial officers. For this reason, and in order to describe the impact of the reduction, the reduction target for the General Revenue Fund is added to and reflected as a reduction in the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund. This increases the number of FTE to be reduced in the trial courts by an additional 126.25 FTE. The total number of 1,084.75 FTE (35% of staff) to be reduced, is clearly a staff reduction the judicial branch in Florida cannot sustain.

To paraphrase immediate past Florida Bar President Jesse Diner, in the worst of economic times, the courts play an ever more crucial role in stabilizing the economy by resolving disputes and establishing confidence not only in the courts but also in the institutions that rely on them.

	COL A90	COL A91	COL A92	
	SCH VIIIIB-1	SCH VIIIIB-1	SCH VIIIIB-1	
	REDUCTIONS	NR FY10-11	ANZ FY10-11	
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS
				AMOUNT
				CODES
STATE COURT SYSTEM				22000000
PGM: SUPREME COURT				22010000
COURT OPER/SUPREME COURT				22010100
STATE COURTS				15
STATE COURT SYSTEM				1501.00.00.00
SCHEDULE VIIIIB REDUCTIONS -				
OPERATING				33B0000
JUDICIAL BRANCH REDUCTION				33B2200

The Washington Economics Group, in a Florida Bar-commissioned report about the ripple effect of court system cutbacks, noted that "In one year, as a result of backlogged cases, given the multiplier effect of this throughout the economy and linkages that exist with other industries . . . we are suffering \$17.4 billion in lost output." The 2010 Legislature recognized this impact in appropriating non-recurring funding to the trial courts to begin alleviating the backlog in mortgage foreclosure cases. However, in order to preserve the courts' ability to meet constitutionally mandated work and to protect children and families, this funding is identified in this schedule as the first to go. Again, the impact of such a cut on Florida's economy is noteworthy as William Large, President of the Florida Justice Reform Institute, recognized in stating that companies are taking their business elsewhere because of legal backlogs in Florida: "Because of cuts to the judiciary in Florida, we are seeing Fortune 500 companies moving to Alabama and South Carolina."

The negative impact of further reducing court staff extends much further than the civil backlog. For example, as one circuit judge noted regarding the effect of reduced case managers on pro se litigants: "The first thing is we can't help them. There is confusion and the wrong things are filed. So there are delays and additional costs." For the appellate courts, a district court of appeal chief judge noted that further staff reductions could mean delays in terms of years or even decades in the disposition of cases.

The need for the establishment of a stable, reliable, dedicated funding source for the courts as a means of insulating the judicial branch from the vagaries of the economy was articulated by the branch in the Seven Principles of Court Funding. The 2009 Legislature, in special session, recognized the benefits of several of the principles and passed legislation increasing fee revenues, a majority of which they directed into a newly created State Court Revenue Trust Fund (SCRTF). Since that time, the percentage of the State Courts System budget funded by the SCRTF has risen to 79%. As stated earlier, the State Courts System's trust funds' revenues are adequate to support and sustain our current base budgets within those trust funds.

Again, strictly in order to comply with the LBR instructions, the reduction targets are shown in these Schedules and the impact on FTE and Rate is also provided. The methodology for reaching the FTE reductions was to divide the reduction target (less the reduction in the foreclosure program for FY 10-11) by the average salary in each budget entity to determine the number of FTE's that would need to be cut to meet the target. (The average salary calculation excluded judicial and constitutional positions.) The Grants and Donations Trust Fund prorated share (\$10,225 in Schedule VIIIIB-1 and \$30,674 in Schedule VIIIIB-2) has been removed from the trust target reduction as these funds are restricted by the grantors. Also, please note that the Schedule VIIIIB-2 reductions (Column A93) INCLUDES the Schedule VIIIIB-1 reductions and are, therefore, NOT IN ADDITION TO the Fiscal Year 2010-2011 reduction schedule.

Please see companion issue # 33B2200 also filed in Budget Entity 22010200, Program Component 1602.00.00.00; Budget Entities 22100600, 22300100, and 22300200, Program Component 1501.00.00.00; and Budget Entity 22350100, Program Component 1601.00.00.00.

	COL A90 SCH VIIIB-1 REDUCTIONS	COL A91 SCH VIIIB-1 NR FY10-11	COL A92 SCH VIIIB-1 ANZ FY10-11	
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS
STATE COURT SYSTEM				22000000
PGM: SUPREME COURT				22010000
COURT OPER/SUPREME COURT				22010100
STATE COURTS				15
STATE COURT SYSTEM				<u>1501.00.00.00</u>
SCHEDULE VIIIB REDUCTIONS - OPERATING				33B0000
JUDICIAL BRANCH REDUCTION				33B2200

POSITION DETAIL OF SALARIES AND BENEFITS:

	FTE	BASE RATE	ADDITIVES	BENEFITS	SUBTOTAL	LAPSE %	LAPSED SALARIES AND BENEFITS
A90 - SCH VIIIB-1 REDUCTIONS							
CHANGES TO CURRENTLY AUTHORIZED POSITIONS							
P101 PROPOSED CLASS CODE							
C1011 001	14.25-	685,882-		260,774-	946,656-	50.08	472,571-
TOTALS FOR ISSUE BY FUND							
2057 STATE COURTS REVENUE TF							472,571-
	14.25-	685,882-		260,774-	946,656-		472,571-

TOTAL: STATE COURT SYSTEM							<u>1501.00.00.00</u>
BY FUND TYPE							
TRUST FUNDS.....	14.25-						2000
SALARY RATE.....		472,571-					
		685,882-					

=====

	COL A90 SCH VIIIIB-1 REDUCTIONS	COL A91 SCH VIIIIB-1 NR FY10-11	COL A92 SCH VIIIIB-1 ANZ FY10-11	CODES
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	
STATE COURT SYSTEM				22000000
PGM: SUPREME COURT				22010000
EXECUTIVE DIR/SUPPORT SVCS				22010200
GOV OPERATIONS/SUPPORT				16
EXEC LEADERSHIP/SUPPRT SVC				1602.00.00.00
SCHEDULE VIIIIB REDUCTIONS - OPERATING				33B0000
JUDICIAL BRANCH REDUCTION				33B2200
SALARY RATE				000000
SALARY RATE.....	1,239,567-			
=====				
SALARIES AND BENEFITS				010000
ADMINISTRATIVE TRUST FUND -STATE	22,417-			2021 1
STATE COURTS REVENUE TF -STATE	592,047-			2057 1
COURT EDUCATION TRUST FUND-STATE	166,329-			2146 1
MEDIATION/ARBITRATION TF -STATE	73,417-			2213 1
TOTAL POSITIONS.....	27.00-			
TOTAL APPRO.....	854,210-			
=====				
OTHER PERSONAL SERVICES				030000
STATE COURTS REVENUE TF -STATE	11,507-	11,507-		2057 1
=====				
EXPENSES				040000
STATE COURTS REVENUE TF -STATE	10,690-	10,690-		2057 1
=====				
TOTAL: JUDICIAL BRANCH REDUCTION				33B2200
TOTAL POSITIONS.....	27.00-			
TOTAL ISSUE.....	876,407-	22,197-		
TOTAL SALARY RATE.....	1,239,567-			
=====				

AGENCY ISSUE NARRATIVE:

SCH VIIIIB-1 NARR 10-11 NARRATIVE:

IT COMPONENT? NO

The completion of this Schedule by the State Courts System is strictly to comply with Fiscal Year 2011-2012 Legislative Budget Request Instructions and should in no way be construed as an offer of reductions on the part of the courts. Clearly, such reductions as provided herein are not a viable alternative for the continued operations of the judicial branch and the State Courts System's trust funds' revenues are adequate to support and sustain our current base budgets within those trust funds through Fiscal Year 2011-2012. Therefore, reduction information is provided only in order to demonstrate the magnitude of the cut and to further explain how the judicial branch of government in Florida simply could not function with the resulting draconian cuts in court staff.

COL A90		COL A91		COL A92		CODES
SCH VIIIIB-1		SCH VIIIIB-1		SCH VIIIIB-1		
REDUCTIONS		NR FY10-11		ANZ FY10-11		
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	
STATE COURT SYSTEM						22000000
PGM: SUPREME COURT						22010000
<u>EXECUTIVE DIR/SUPPORT SVCS</u>						22010200
GOV OPERATIONS/SUPPORT						16
<u>EXEC LEADERSHIP/SUPPRT SVC</u>						<u>1602.00.00.00</u>
SCHEDULE VIIIIB REDUCTIONS -						
OPERATING						33B0000
JUDICIAL BRANCH REDUCTION						33B2200

Due to previous cuts, the only place left for the State Courts System to meet the reduction targets is in the salary and benefits category which means eliminating FTE. The information provided herein reflects that impact. Nearly 45% (almost half) of the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund salary budget is dedicated to judicial salaries and benefits. In addition, General Revenue funding for the State Courts System is currently provided solely for a portion of judicial salaries in Circuit and County Courts. To assure Florida citizens of their constitutional right of access to the courts without sale, denial or delay, no reduction option is available to the courts in terms of reducing judicial officers. For this reason, and in order to describe the impact of the reduction, the reduction target for the General Revenue Fund is added to and reflected as a reduction in the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund. This increases the number of FTE to be reduced in the trial courts by an additional 126.25 FTE. The total number of 1,084.75 FTE (35% of staff) to be reduced, is clearly a staff reduction the judicial branch in Florida cannot sustain.

To paraphrase immediate past Florida Bar President Jesse Diner, in the worst of economic times, the courts play an ever more crucial role in stabilizing the economy by resolving disputes and establishing confidence not only in the courts but also in the institutions that rely on them.

The Washington Economics Group, in a Florida Bar-commissioned report about the ripple effect of court system cutbacks, noted that "In one year, as a result of backlogged cases, given the multiplier effect of this throughout the economy and linkages that exist with other industries . . . we are suffering \$17.4 billion in lost output." The 2010 Legislature recognized this impact in appropriating non-recurring funding to the trial courts to begin alleviating the backlog in mortgage foreclosure cases. However, in order to preserve the courts' ability to meet constitutionally mandated work and to protect children and families, this funding is identified in this schedule as the first to go. Again, the impact of such a cut on Florida's economy is noteworthy as William Large, President of the Florida Justice Reform Institute, recognized in stating that companies are taking their business elsewhere because of legal backlogs in Florida: "Because of cuts to the judiciary in Florida, we are seeing Fortune 500 companies moving to Alabama and South Carolina."

The negative impact of further reducing court staff extends much further than the civil backlog. For example, as one circuit judge noted regarding the effect of reduced case managers on pro se litigants: "The first thing is we can't help them. There is confusion and the wrong things are filed. So there are delays and additional costs." For the appellate courts, a district court of appeal chief judge noted that further staff reductions could mean delays in terms of years or even decades in the disposition of cases.

The need for the establishment of a stable, reliable, dedicated funding source for the courts as a means of insulating the judicial branch from the vagaries of the economy was articulated by the branch in the Seven Principles of Court Funding. The 2009 Legislature, in special session, recognized the benefits of several of the principles and passed legislation increasing fee revenues, a majority of which they directed into a newly created State Court Revenue Trust Fund (SCRTF). Since that time, the percentage of the State Courts System budget funded by the SCRTF has risen to 79%. As stated earlier, the State Courts System's trust funds' revenues are adequate to support and sustain our current base budgets within those trust funds.

COL A90		COL A91		COL A92		CODES
SCH VIII B-1		SCH VIII B-1		SCH VIII B-1		
REDUCTIONS		NR FY10-11		ANZ FY10-11		
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	
STATE COURT SYSTEM						22000000
PGM: SUPREME COURT						22010000
EXECUTIVE DIR/SUPPORT SVCS						22010200
GOV OPERATIONS/SUPPORT						16
EXEC LEADERSHIP/SUPPRT SVC						1602.00.00.00
SCHEDULE VIII B REDUCTIONS -						
OPERATING						33B0000
JUDICIAL BRANCH REDUCTION						33B2200

Again, strictly in order to comply with the LBR instructions, the reduction targets are shown in these Schedules and the impact on FTE and Rate is also provided. The methodology for reaching the FTE reductions was to divide the reduction target (less the reduction in the foreclosure program for FY 10-11) by the average salary in each budget entity to determine the number of FTE's that would need to be cut to meet the target. (The average salary calculation excluded judicial and constitutional positions.) The Grants and Donations Trust Fund prorated share (\$10,225 in Schedule VIII B-1 and \$30,674 in Schedule VIII B-2) has been removed from the trust target reduction as these funds are restricted by the grantors. Also, please note that the Schedule VIII B-2 reductions (Column A93) INCLUDES the Schedule VIII B-1 reductions and are, therefore, NOT IN ADDITION TO the Fiscal Year 2010-2011 reduction schedule.

Please see companion issue # 33B2200 also filed in Budget Entity 22010100, Program Component 1501.00.00.00; Budget Entities 22100600, 22300100, and 22300200, Program Component 1501.00.00.00; and Budget Entity 22350100, Program Component 1601.00.00.00.

POSITION DETAIL OF SALARIES AND BENEFITS:

	FTE	BASE RATE	ADDITIVES	BENEFITS	SUBTOTAL	LAPSE %	LAPSED SALARIES AND BENEFITS
A90 - SCH VIII B-1 REDUCTIONS							
CHANGES TO CURRENTLY AUTHORIZED POSITIONS							
P101 PROPOSED CLASS CODE							
C2401 001	18.50-	856,745-		332,343-	1,189,088-	50.21	592,047-
C2402 001	5.25-	241,127-		93,944-	335,071-	50.36	166,329-
C2403 001	2.50-	102,197-		42,411-	144,608-	49.23	73,417-
C2404 001	0.75-	39,498-		14,351-	53,849-	58.37	22,417-

	COL A90 SCH VIII B-1 REDUCTIONS	COL A91 SCH VIII B-1 NR FY10-11	COL A92 SCH VIII B-1 ANZ FY10-11	
	POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT
STATE COURT SYSTEM				22000000
PGM: SUPREME COURT				22010000
EXECUTIVE DIR/SUPPORT SVCS				22010200
GOV OPERATIONS/SUPPORT				16
EXEC LEADERSHIP/SUPPRT SVC				1602.00.00.00
SCHEDULE VIII B REDUCTIONS - OPERATING				33B0000
JUDICIAL BRANCH REDUCTION				33B2200

POSITION DETAIL OF SALARIES AND BENEFITS:

	FTE	BASE RATE	ADDITIVES	BENEFITS	SUBTOTAL	LAPSE %	LAPSED SALARIES AND BENEFITS
A90 - SCH VIII B-1 REDUCTIONS							
CHANGES TO CURRENTLY AUTHORIZED POSITIONS							
TOTALS FOR ISSUE BY FUND							
2057 STATE COURTS REVENUE TF							592,047-
2146 COURT EDUCATION TRUST FUND							166,329-
2213 MEDIATION/ARBITRATION TF							73,417-
2021 ADMINISTRATIVE TRUST FUND							22,417-
	27.00-	1,239,567-		483,049-	1,722,616-		854,210-

TOTAL: EXEC LEADERSHIP/SUPPRT SVC							1602.00.00.00
BY FUND TYPE	27.00-						
TRUST FUNDS.....		876,407-	22,197-				2000
SALARY RATE.....	1,239,567-						

=====

	COL A90 SCH VIII B-1 REDUCTIONS	COL A91 SCH VIII B-1 NR FY10-11	COL A92 SCH VIII B-1 ANZ FY10-11	CODES
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	
STATE COURT SYSTEM				22000000
PGM: DIST COURTS OF APPEAL				22100000
COURT OPER/APPELLATE COURT				22100600
STATE COURTS				15
STATE COURT SYSTEM				1501.00.00.00
SCHEDULE VIII B REDUCTIONS - OPERATING				33B0000
JUDICIAL BRANCH REDUCTION				33B2200
SALARY RATE				000000
SALARY RATE.....	2,990,486-			
=====				
SALARIES AND BENEFITS				010000
ADMINISTRATIVE TRUST FUND -STATE	100,209-			2021 1
STATE COURTS REVENUE TF -STATE	1,946,140-			2057 1

TOTAL POSITIONS.....	60.25-			
TOTAL APPRO.....	2,046,349-			
=====				
TOTAL: JUDICIAL BRANCH REDUCTION				33B2200
TOTAL POSITIONS.....	60.25-			
TOTAL ISSUE.....	2,046,349-			
TOTAL SALARY RATE.....	2,990,486-			
=====				

AGENCY ISSUE NARRATIVE:

SCH VIII B-1 NARR 10-11 NARRATIVE: IT COMPONENT? NO

The completion of this Schedule by the State Courts System is strictly to comply with Fiscal Year 2011-2012 Legislative Budget Request Instructions and should in no way be construed as an offer of reductions on the part of the courts. Clearly, such reductions as provided herein are not a viable alternative for the continued operations of the judicial branch and the State Courts System's trust funds' revenues are adequate to support and sustain our current base budgets within those trust funds through Fiscal Year 2011-2012. Therefore, reduction information is provided only in order to demonstrate the magnitude of the cut and to further explain how the judicial branch of government in Florida simply could not function with the resulting draconian cuts in court staff.

Due to previous cuts, the only place left for the State Courts System to meet the reduction targets is in the salary and benefits category which means eliminating FTE. The information provided herein reflects that impact. Nearly 45% (almost half) of the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund salary budget is dedicated to judicial salaries and benefits. In addition, General Revenue funding for the State Courts System is currently provided solely for a portion of judicial salaries in Circuit and County Courts. To assure Florida citizens of their constitutional right of access to the courts without sale, denial or delay, no reduction option is available to the courts in terms of reducing judicial officers. For this reason, and in order to describe the impact of the reduction, the reduction target for the General Revenue Fund is added to and reflected as a reduction in the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund. This increases the number of FTE to be reduced in the trial courts by an additional 126.25 FTE. The total number of 1,084.75 FTE (35% of staff) to be reduced, is clearly a staff reduction the judicial branch in Florida cannot sustain.

	COL A90	COL A91	COL A92	
	SCH VIIIIB-1	SCH VIIIIB-1	SCH VIIIIB-1	
	REDUCTIONS	NR FY10-11	ANZ FY10-11	
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS
				AMOUNT
				CODES
STATE COURT SYSTEM				22000000
PGM: DIST COURTS OF APPEAL				22100000
COURT OPER/APPELLATE COURT				22100600
STATE COURTS				15
STATE COURT SYSTEM				1501.00.00.00
SCHEDULE VIIIIB REDUCTIONS -				
OPERATING				33B0000
JUDICIAL BRANCH REDUCTION				33B2200

To paraphrase immediate past Florida Bar President Jesse Diner, in the worst of economic times, the courts play an ever more crucial role in stabilizing the economy by resolving disputes and establishing confidence not only in the courts but also in the institutions that rely on them.

The Washington Economics Group, in a Florida Bar-commissioned report about the ripple effect of court system cutbacks, noted that "In one year, as a result of backlogged cases, given the multiplier effect of this throughout the economy and linkages that exist with other industries . . . we are suffering \$17.4 billion in lost output." The 2010 Legislature recognized this impact in appropriating non-recurring funding to the trial courts to begin alleviating the backlog in mortgage foreclosure cases. However, in order to preserve the courts' ability to meet constitutionally mandated work and to protect children and families, this funding is identified in this schedule as the first to go. Again, the impact of such a cut on Florida's economy is noteworthy as William Large, President of the Florida Justice Reform Institute, recognized in stating that companies are taking their business elsewhere because of legal backlogs in Florida: "Because of cuts to the judiciary in Florida, we are seeing Fortune 500 companies moving to Alabama and South Carolina."

The negative impact of further reducing court staff extends much further than the civil backlog. For example, as one circuit judge noted regarding the effect of reduced case managers on pro se litigants: "The first thing is we can't help them. There is confusion and the wrong things are filed. So there are delays and additional costs." For the appellate courts, a district court of appeal chief judge noted that further staff reductions could mean delays in terms of years or even decades in the disposition of cases.

The need for the establishment of a stable, reliable, dedicated funding source for the courts as a means of insulating the judicial branch from the vagaries of the economy was articulated by the branch in the Seven Principles of Court Funding. The 2009 Legislature, in special session, recognized the benefits of several of the principles and passed legislation increasing fee revenues, a majority of which they directed into a newly created State Court Revenue Trust Fund (SCRTF). Since that time, the percentage of the State Courts System budget funded by the SCRTF has risen to 79%. As stated earlier, the State Courts System's trust funds' revenues are adequate to support and sustain our current base budgets within those trust funds.

Again, strictly in order to comply with the LBR instructions, the reduction targets are shown in these Schedules and the impact on FTE and Rate is also provided. The methodology for reaching the FTE reductions was to divide the reduction target (less the reduction in the foreclosure program for FY 10-11) by the average salary in each budget entity to determine the number of FTE's that would need to be cut to meet the target. (The average salary calculation excluded judicial and constitutional positions.) The Grants and Donations Trust Fund prorated share (\$10,225 in Schedule VIIIIB-1 and \$30,674 in Schedule VIIIIB-2) has been removed from the trust target reduction as these funds are restricted by the grantors. Also, please note that the Schedule VIIIIB-2 reductions (Column A93) INCLUDES the Schedule VIIIIB-1 reductions and are, therefore, NOT IN ADDITION TO the Fiscal Year 2010-2011 reduction schedule.

Please see companion issue # 33B2200 also filed in Budget Entity 22010200, Program Component 1602.00.00.00; Budget Entities 22010100, 22300100, and 22300200, Program Component 1501.00.00.00; and Budget Entity 22350100, Program Component

	COL A90 SCH VIII B-1 REDUCTIONS	COL A91 SCH VIII B-1 NR FY10-11	COL A92 SCH VIII B-1 ANZ FY10-11	CODES
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	
STATE COURT SYSTEM				22000000
PGM: TRIAL COURTS				22300000
CT OPER/CIRCUIT CTS				22300100
STATE COURTS				15
STATE COURT SYSTEM				1501.00.00.00
SCHEDULE VIII B REDUCTIONS - OPERATING				33B0000
JUDICIAL BRANCH REDUCTION				33B2200
SALARY RATE				000000
SALARY RATE.....	16,279,910-			
=====				
SALARIES AND BENEFITS				010000
ADMINISTRATIVE TRUST FUND -STATE	58,991-			2021 1
STATE COURTS REVENUE TF -STATE	10,783,321-			2057 1
MEDIATION/ARBITRATION TF -STATE	628,057-			2213 1
TOTAL POSITIONS.....	381.50-			
TOTAL APPRO.....	11,470,369-			
=====				
OTHER PERSONAL SERVICES				030000
STATE COURTS REVENUE TF -STATE	1,666,556-	1,666,556-		2057 1
=====				
EXPENSES				040000
STATE COURTS REVENUE TF -STATE	233,705-	233,705-		2057 1
=====				
SPECIAL CATEGORIES				100000
COMP TO RETIRED JUDGES				100630
STATE COURTS REVENUE TF -STATE	1,047,520-	1,047,520-		2057 1
=====				
CONTRACTED SERVICES				100777
STATE COURTS REVENUE TF -STATE	30,022-	30,022-		2057 1
=====				
TOTAL: JUDICIAL BRANCH REDUCTION				33B2200
TOTAL POSITIONS.....	381.50-			
TOTAL ISSUE.....	14,448,172-	2,977,803-		
TOTAL SALARY RATE.....	16,279,910-			
=====				

	COL A90	COL A91	COL A92	
	SCH VIIIIB-1	SCH VIIIIB-1	SCH VIIIIB-1	
	REDUCTIONS	NR FY10-11	ANZ FY10-11	
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS
				AMOUNT
				CODES
STATE COURT SYSTEM				22000000
PGM: TRIAL COURTS				22300000
CT OPER/CIRCUIT CTS				22300100
STATE COURTS				15
STATE COURT SYSTEM				1501.00.00.00
SCHEDULE VIIIIB REDUCTIONS -				
OPERATING				33B0000
JUDICIAL BRANCH REDUCTION				33B2200

AGENCY ISSUE NARRATIVE:

SCH VIIIIB-1 NARR 10-11 NARRATIVE:

IT COMPONENT? NO

The completion of this Schedule by the State Courts System is strictly to comply with Fiscal Year 2011-2012 Legislative Budget Request Instructions and should in no way be construed as an offer of reductions on the part of the courts. Clearly, such reductions as provided herein are not a viable alternative for the continued operations of the judicial branch and the State Courts System's trust funds' revenues are adequate to support and sustain our current base budgets within those trust funds through Fiscal Year 2011-2012. Therefore, reduction information is provided only in order to demonstrate the magnitude of the cut and to further explain how the judicial branch of government in Florida simply could not function with the resulting draconian cuts in court staff.

Due to previous cuts, the only place left for the State Courts System to meet the reduction targets is in the salary and benefits category which means eliminating FTE. The information provided herein reflects that impact. Nearly 45% (almost half) of the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund salary budget is dedicated to judicial salaries and benefits. In addition, General Revenue funding for the State Courts System is currently provided solely for a portion of judicial salaries in Circuit and County Courts. To assure Florida citizens of their constitutional right of access to the courts without sale, denial or delay, no reduction option is available to the courts in terms of reducing judicial officers. For this reason, and in order to describe the impact of the reduction, the reduction target for the General Revenue Fund is added to and reflected as a reduction in the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund. This increases the number of FTE to be reduced in the trial courts by an additional 126.25 FTE. The total number of 1,084.75 FTE (35% of staff) to be reduced, is clearly a staff reduction the judicial branch in Florida cannot sustain.

To paraphrase immediate past Florida Bar President Jesse Diner, in the worst of economic times, the courts play an ever more crucial role in stabilizing the economy by resolving disputes and establishing confidence not only in the courts but also in the institutions that rely on them.

The Washington Economics Group, in a Florida Bar-commissioned report about the ripple effect of court system cutbacks, noted that "In one year, as a result of backlogged cases, given the multiplier effect of this throughout the economy and linkages that exist with other industries . . . we are suffering \$17.4 billion in lost output." The 2010 Legislature recognized this impact in appropriating non-recurring funding to the trial courts to begin alleviating the backlog in mortgage foreclosure cases. However, in order to preserve the courts' ability to meet constitutionally mandated work and to protect children and families, this funding is identified in this schedule as the first to go. Again, the impact of such a cut on Florida's economy is noteworthy as William Large, President of the Florida Justice Reform Institute, recognized in stating that companies are taking their business elsewhere because of legal backlogs in Florida: "Because of cuts to the judiciary in Florida, we are seeing Fortune 500 companies moving to Alabama and South Carolina."

The negative impact of further reducing court staff extends much further than the civil backlog. For example, as one circuit judge noted regarding the effect of reduced case managers on pro se litigants: "The first thing is we can't help them. There is confusion and the wrong things are filed. So there are delays and additional costs." For the appellate

	COL A90	COL A91	COL A92	
	SCH VIIIIB-1	SCH VIIIIB-1	SCH VIIIIB-1	
	REDUCTIONS	NR FY10-11	ANZ FY10-11	
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS
				AMOUNT
				CODES
STATE COURT SYSTEM				22000000
PGM: TRIAL COURTS				22300000
CT OPER/CIRCUIT CTS				22300100
STATE COURTS				15
STATE COURT SYSTEM				1501.00.00.00
SCHEDULE VIIIIB REDUCTIONS -				
OPERATING				33B0000
JUDICIAL BRANCH REDUCTION				33B2200

courts, a district court of appeal chief judge noted that further staff reductions could mean delays in terms of years or even decades in the disposition of cases.

The need for the establishment of a stable, reliable, dedicated funding source for the courts as a means of insulating the judicial branch from the vagaries of the economy was articulated by the branch in the Seven Principles of Court Funding. The 2009 Legislature, in special session, recognized the benefits of several of the principles and passed legislation increasing fee revenues, a majority of which they directed into a newly created State Court Revenue Trust Fund (SCRTF). Since that time, the percentage of the State Courts System budget funded by the SCRTF has risen to 79%. As stated earlier, the State Courts System's trust funds' revenues are adequate to support and sustain our current base budgets within those trust funds.

Again, strictly in order to comply with the LBR instructions, the reduction targets are shown in these Schedules and the impact on FTE and Rate is also provided. The methodology for reaching the FTE reductions was to divide the reduction target (less the reduction in the foreclosure program for FY 10-11) by the average salary in each budget entity to determine the number of FTE's that would need to be cut to meet the target. (The average salary calculation excluded judicial and constitutional positions.) The Grants and Donations Trust Fund prorated share (\$10,225 in Schedule VIIIIB-1 and \$30,674 in Schedule VIIIIB-2) has been removed from the trust target reduction as these funds are restricted by the grantors. Also, please note that the Schedule VIIIIB-2 reductions (Column A93) INCLUDES the Schedule VIIIIB-1 reductions and are, therefore, NOT IN ADDITION TO the Fiscal Year 2010-2011 reduction schedule.

Please see companion issue # 33B2200 also filed in Budget Entity 22010200, Program Component 1602.00.00.00; Budget Entities 22010100, 22100600, and 22300200, Program Component 1501.00.00.00; and Budget Entity 22350100, Program Component 1601.00.00.00.

POSITION DETAIL OF SALARIES AND BENEFITS:

	FTE	BASE RATE	ADDITIVES	BENEFITS	SUBTOTAL	LAPSE %	LAPSED SALARIES AND BENEFITS
A90 - SCH VIIIIB-1 REDUCTIONS							
CHANGES TO CURRENTLY AUTHORIZED POSITIONS							
P101 PROPOSED CLASS CODE							
C4113 001	358.50-	15,355,981-		6,210,662-	21,566,643-	50.00	10,783,321-
C4114 001	22.00-	882,080-		370,028-	1,252,108-	49.84	628,057-
C4115 001	1.00-	41,849-		17,142-	58,991-	0.00	58,991-

	COL A90	COL A91	COL A92	
	SCH VIIIIB-1	SCH VIIIIB-1	SCH VIIIIB-1	
	REDUCTIONS	NR FY10-11	ANZ FY10-11	
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS
				AMOUNT
				CODES
STATE COURT SYSTEM				22000000
PGM: TRIAL COURTS				22300000
CT OPER/COUNTY CTS				22300200
STATE COURTS				15
STATE COURT SYSTEM				1501.00.00.00
SCHEDULE VIIIIB REDUCTIONS -				
OPERATING				33B0000
JUDICIAL BRANCH REDUCTION				33B2200
SALARY RATE				000000
SALARY RATE.....	5,426,335-			
=====				
SALARIES AND BENEFITS				010000
STATE COURTS REVENUE TF -STATE	150.75-			
	3,920,883-			2057 1
=====				
TOTAL: JUDICIAL BRANCH REDUCTION				33B2200
TOTAL POSITIONS.....	150.75-			
TOTAL ISSUE.....	3,920,883-			
TOTAL SALARY RATE.....	5,426,335-			
=====				

AGENCY ISSUE NARRATIVE:

SCH VIIIIB-1 NARR 10-11 NARRATIVE: IT COMPONENT? NO

The completion of this Schedule by the State Courts System is strictly to comply with Fiscal Year 2011-2012 Legislative Budget Request Instructions and should in no way be construed as an offer of reductions on the part of the courts. Clearly, such reductions as provided herein are not a viable alternative for the continued operations of the judicial branch and the State Courts System's trust funds' revenues are adequate to support and sustain our current base budgets within those trust funds through Fiscal Year 2011-2012. Therefore, reduction information is provided only in order to demonstrate the magnitude of the cut and to further explain how the judicial branch of government in Florida simply could not function with the resulting draconian cuts in court staff.

Due to previous cuts, the only place left for the State Courts System to meet the reduction targets is in the salary and benefits category which means eliminating FTE. The information provided herein reflects that impact. Nearly 45% (almost half) of the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund salary budget is dedicated to judicial salaries and benefits. In addition, General Revenue funding for the State Courts System is currently provided solely for a portion of judicial salaries in Circuit and County Courts. To assure Florida citizens of their constitutional right of access to the courts without sale, denial or delay, no reduction option is available to the courts in terms of reducing judicial officers. For this reason, and in order to describe the impact of the reduction, the reduction target for the General Revenue Fund is added to and reflected as a reduction in the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund. This increases the number of FTE to be reduced in the trial courts by an additional 126.25 FTE. The total number of 1,084.75 FTE (35% of staff) to be reduced, is clearly a staff reduction the judicial branch in Florida cannot sustain.

To paraphrase immediate past Florida Bar President Jesse Diner, in the worst of economic times, the courts play an ever more crucial role in stabilizing the economy by resolving disputes and establishing confidence not only in the courts but also in the institutions that rely on them.

	COL A90	COL A91	COL A92	
	SCH VIIIIB-1	SCH VIIIIB-1	SCH VIIIIB-1	
	REDUCTIONS	NR FY10-11	ANZ FY10-11	
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS
				AMOUNT
				CODES
STATE COURT SYSTEM				22000000
PGM: TRIAL COURTS				22300000
CT OPER/COUNTY CTS				22300200
STATE COURTS				15
STATE COURT SYSTEM				1501.00.00.00
SCHEDULE VIIIIB REDUCTIONS -				
OPERATING				33B0000
JUDICIAL BRANCH REDUCTION				33B2200

The Washington Economics Group, in a Florida Bar-commissioned report about the ripple effect of court system cutbacks, noted that "In one year, as a result of backlogged cases, given the multiplier effect of this throughout the economy and linkages that exist with other industries . . . we are suffering \$17.4 billion in lost output." The 2010 Legislature recognized this impact in appropriating non-recurring funding to the trial courts to begin alleviating the backlog in mortgage foreclosure cases. However, in order to preserve the courts' ability to meet constitutionally mandated work and to protect children and families, this funding is identified in this schedule as the first to go. Again, the impact of such a cut on Florida's economy is noteworthy as William Large, President of the Florida Justice Reform Institute, recognized in stating that companies are taking their business elsewhere because of legal backlogs in Florida: "Because of cuts to the judiciary in Florida, we are seeing Fortune 500 companies moving to Alabama and South Carolina."

The negative impact of further reducing court staff extends much further than the civil backlog. For example, as one circuit judge noted regarding the effect of reduced case managers on pro se litigants: "The first thing is we can't help them. There is confusion and the wrong things are filed. So there are delays and additional costs." For the appellate courts, a district court of appeal chief judge noted that further staff reductions could mean delays in terms of years or even decades in the disposition of cases.

The need for the establishment of a stable, reliable, dedicated funding source for the courts as a means of insulating the judicial branch from the vagaries of the economy was articulated by the branch in the Seven Principles of Court Funding. The 2009 Legislature, in special session, recognized the benefits of several of the principles and passed legislation increasing fee revenues, a majority of which they directed into a newly created State Court Revenue Trust Fund (SCRTF). Since that time, the percentage of the State Courts System budget funded by the SCRTF has risen to 79%. As stated earlier, the State Courts System's trust funds' revenues are adequate to support and sustain our current base budgets within those trust funds.

Again, strictly in order to comply with the LBR instructions, the reduction targets are shown in these Schedules and the impact on FTE and Rate is also provided. The methodology for reaching the FTE reductions was to divide the reduction target (less the reduction in the foreclosure program for FY 10-11) by the average salary in each budget entity to determine the number of FTE's that would need to be cut to meet the target. (The average salary calculation excluded judicial and constitutional positions.) The Grants and Donations Trust Fund prorated share (\$10,225 in Schedule VIIIIB-1 and \$30,674 in Schedule VIIIIB-2) has been removed from the trust target reduction as these funds are restricted by the grantors. Also, please note that the Schedule VIIIIB-2 reductions (Column A93) INCLUDES the Schedule VIIIIB-1 reductions and are, therefore, NOT IN ADDITION TO the Fiscal Year 2010-2011 reduction schedule.

Please see companion issue # 33B2200 also filed in Budget Entity 22010200, Program Component 1602.00.00.00; Budget Entities 22010100, 22100600, and 22300100, Program Component 1501.00.00.00; and Budget Entity 22350100, Program Component 1601.00.00.00.

	COL A90 SCH VIII B-1 REDUCTIONS	COL A91 SCH VIII B-1 NR FY10-11	COL A92 SCH VIII B-1 ANZ FY10-11		CODES
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT
STATE COURT SYSTEM					22000000
PGM: TRIAL COURTS					22300000
CT OPER/COUNTY CTS					22300200
STATE COURTS					15
STATE COURT SYSTEM					<u>1501.00.00.00</u>
SCHEDULE VIII B REDUCTIONS - OPERATING					33B0000
JUDICIAL BRANCH REDUCTION					33B2200

POSITION DETAIL OF SALARIES AND BENEFITS:

	FTE	BASE RATE	ADDITIVES	BENEFITS	SUBTOTAL	LAPSE %	LAPSED SALARIES AND BENEFITS
A90 - SCH VIII B-1 REDUCTIONS							
CHANGES TO CURRENTLY AUTHORIZED POSITIONS							
P101 PROPOSED CLASS CODE							
C6106 001	150.75-	5,426,335-		2,421,710-	7,848,045-	50.04	3,920,883-
TOTALS FOR ISSUE BY FUND							
2057 STATE COURTS REVENUE TF							3,920,883-
	150.75-	5,426,335-		2,421,710-	7,848,045-		3,920,883-

TOTAL: STATE COURT SYSTEM							<u>1501.00.00.00</u>
BY FUND TYPE							
TRUST FUNDS.....	150.75-						2000
SALARY RATE.....		3,920,883-					
		5,426,335-					

=====

	COL A90 SCH VIIIIB-1 REDUCTIONS	COL A91 SCH VIIIIB-1 NR FY10-11	COL A92 SCH VIIIIB-1 ANZ FY10-11	CODES
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	
STATE COURT SYSTEM				22000000
PGM: JUDICIAL QUALIF COMM				22350000
JUDICIAL QUAL COMM OPERTNS				22350100
GOV OPERATIONS/SUPPORT				16
GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS				1601.00.00.00
SCHEDULE VIIIIB REDUCTIONS - OPERATING				33B0000
JUDICIAL BRANCH REDUCTION				33B2200
SALARY RATE				000000
SALARY RATE.....	62,863-			
=====				
SALARIES AND BENEFITS				010000
STATE COURTS REVENUE TF -STATE	1.75-			
	45,912-			2057 1
=====				
TOTAL: JUDICIAL BRANCH REDUCTION				33B2200
TOTAL POSITIONS.....	1.75-			
TOTAL ISSUE.....	45,912-			
TOTAL SALARY RATE.....	62,863-			
=====				

AGENCY ISSUE NARRATIVE:

SCH VIIIIB-1 NARR 10-11 NARRATIVE: IT COMPONENT? NO

The completion of this Schedule by the State Courts System is strictly to comply with Fiscal Year 2011-2012 Legislative Budget Request Instructions and should in no way be construed as an offer of reductions on the part of the courts. Clearly, such reductions as provided herein are not a viable alternative for the continued operations of the judicial branch and the State Courts System's trust funds' revenues are adequate to support and sustain our current base budgets within those trust funds through Fiscal Year 2011-2012. Therefore, reduction information is provided only in order to demonstrate the magnitude of the cut and to further explain how the judicial branch of government in Florida simply could not function with the resulting draconian cuts in court staff.

Due to previous cuts, the only place left for the State Courts System to meet the reduction targets is in the salary and benefits category which means eliminating FTE. The information provided herein reflects that impact. Nearly 45% (almost half) of the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund salary budget is dedicated to judicial salaries and benefits. In addition, General Revenue funding for the State Courts System is currently provided solely for a portion of judicial salaries in Circuit and County Courts. To assure Florida citizens of their constitutional right of access to the courts without sale, denial or delay, no reduction option is available to the courts in terms of reducing judicial officers. For this reason, and in order to describe the impact of the reduction, the reduction target for the General Revenue Fund is added to and reflected as a reduction in the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund. This increases the number of FTE to be reduced in the trial courts by an additional 126.25 FTE. The total number of 1,084.75 FTE (35% of staff) to be reduced, is clearly a staff reduction the judicial branch in Florida cannot sustain.

To paraphrase immediate past Florida Bar President Jesse Diner, in the worst of economic times, the courts play an ever more crucial role in stabilizing the economy by resolving disputes and establishing confidence not only in the courts but also in the institutions that rely on them.

COL A90		COL A91		COL A92		CODES
SCH VIIIIB-1	SCH VIIIIB-1	SCH VIIIIB-1	NR FY10-11	ANZ FY10-11	ANZ FY10-11	
REDUCTIONS	REDUCTIONS	REDUCTIONS	REDUCTIONS	REDUCTIONS	REDUCTIONS	
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	
STATE COURT SYSTEM						22000000
PGM: JUDICIAL QUALIF COMM						22350000
JUDICIAL QUAL COMM OPERTNS						22350100
GOV OPERATIONS/SUPPORT						16
GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS						1601.00.00.00
SCHEDULE VIIIIB REDUCTIONS -						
OPERATING						33B0000
JUDICIAL BRANCH REDUCTION						33B2200

The Washington Economics Group, in a Florida Bar-commissioned report about the ripple effect of court system cutbacks, noted that "In one year, as a result of backlogged cases, given the multiplier effect of this throughout the economy and linkages that exist with other industries . . . we are suffering \$17.4 billion in lost output." The 2010 Legislature recognized this impact in appropriating non-recurring funding to the trial courts to begin alleviating the backlog in mortgage foreclosure cases. However, in order to preserve the courts' ability to meet constitutionally mandated work and to protect children and families, this funding is identified in this schedule as the first to go. Again, the impact of such a cut on Florida's economy is noteworthy as William Large, President of the Florida Justice Reform Institute, recognized in stating that companies are taking their business elsewhere because of legal backlogs in Florida: "Because of cuts to the judiciary in Florida, we are seeing Fortune 500 companies moving to Alabama and South Carolina."

The negative impact of further reducing court staff extends much further than the civil backlog. For example, as one circuit judge noted regarding the effect of reduced case managers on pro se litigants: "The first thing is we can't help them. There is confusion and the wrong things are filed. So there are delays and additional costs." For the appellate courts, a district court of appeal chief judge noted that further staff reductions could mean delays in terms of years or even decades in the disposition of cases.

The need for the establishment of a stable, reliable, dedicated funding source for the courts as a means of insulating the judicial branch from the vagaries of the economy was articulated by the branch in the Seven Principles of Court Funding. The 2009 Legislature, in special session, recognized the benefits of several of the principles and passed legislation increasing fee revenues, a majority of which they directed into a newly created State Court Revenue Trust Fund (SCRTF). Since that time, the percentage of the State Courts System budget funded by the SCRTF has risen to 79%. As stated earlier, the State Courts System's trust funds' revenues are adequate to support and sustain our current base budgets within those trust funds.

Again, strictly in order to comply with the LBR instructions, the reduction targets are shown in these Schedules and the impact on FTE and Rate is also provided. The methodology for reaching the FTE reductions was to divide the reduction target (less the reduction in the foreclosure program for FY 10-11) by the average salary in each budget entity to determine the number of FTE's that would need to be cut to meet the target. (The average salary calculation excluded judicial and constitutional positions.) The Grants and Donations Trust Fund prorated share (\$10,225 in Schedule VIIIIB-1 and \$30,674 in Schedule VIIIIB-2) has been removed from the trust target reduction as these funds are restricted by the grantors. Also, please note that the Schedule VIIIIB-2 reductions (Column A93) INCLUDES the Schedule VIIIIB-1 reductions and are, therefore, NOT IN ADDITION TO the Fiscal Year 2010-2011 reduction schedule.

Please see companion issue # 33B2200 also filed in Budget Entity 22010200, Program Component 1602.00.00.00; Budget Entities 22010100, 22100600, 22300100 and 22300200, Program Component 1501.00.00.00.

	COL A90 SCH VIIIB-1 REDUCTIONS	COL A91 SCH VIIIB-1 NR FY10-11	COL A92 SCH VIIIB-1 ANZ FY10-11	
POS	AMOUNT	POS	AMOUNT	POS
				CODES
STATE COURT SYSTEM				22000000
PGM: JUDICIAL QUALIF COMM				22350000
JUDICIAL_QUAL_COMM_OPERTNS				22350100
GOV OPERATIONS/SUPPORT				16
GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS				<u>1601.00.00.00</u>
SCHEDULE VIIIB REDUCTIONS -				
OPERATING				33B0000
JUDICIAL BRANCH REDUCTION				33B2200

POSITION DETAIL OF SALARIES AND BENEFITS:

	FTE	BASE RATE	ADDITIVES	BENEFITS	SUBTOTAL	LAPSE %	LAPSED SALARIES AND BENEFITS
A90 - SCH VIIIB-1 REDUCTIONS							
CHANGES TO CURRENTLY AUTHORIZED POSITIONS							
P101 PROPOSED CLASS CODE							
C7001 001	1.75-	62,863-		28,088-	90,951-	49.52	45,912-
TOTALS FOR ISSUE BY FUND							
2057 STATE COURTS REVENUE TF							45,912-
	1.75-	62,863-		28,088-	90,951-		45,912-

TOTAL: GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS							<u>1601.00.00.00</u>
BY FUND TYPE							
TRUST FUNDS.....	1.75-	45,912-					2000
SALARY RATE.....	62,863-						

=====